1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI 2 NORTHERN DIVISION 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 4 5 CRIMINAL NO. 3:23-CR-00062-TSL-LGI **VERSUS** 6 BRETT MORRIS MCALPIN JEFFREY ARWOOD MIDDLETON 7 CHRISTIAN LEE DEDMON HUNTER THOMAS ELWARD DANIEL READY OPDYKE 8 JOSHUA ALLEN HARTFIELD DEFENDANTS 9 10 11 CHANGE OF PLEA PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE TOM S. LEE, 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, AUGUST 3, 2023, 13 JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 14 15 (APPEARANCES NOTED HEREIN.) 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 REPORTED BY: 22 CANDICE S. CRANE, RPR, RCR, CCR #1781 23 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 501 E. Court Street, Suite 2.500 Jackson, Mississippi 39201 24 Telephone: (601) 608-4187 E-mail: Candice Crane@mssd.uscourts.gov 25

1 APPEARANCES: 2 FOR THE GOVERNMENT: ERIN O. CHALK, ESQ. 3 GLENDA R. HAYNES, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER PERRAS, ESQ. 4 DANIEL GRUNERT, ESQ. 5 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: AAFRAM Y. SELLERS, ESQ. For Defendant Brett Morris McAlpin 6 E. CARLOS TANNER, III, ESQ. 7 For Defendant Jeffrey Arwood Middleton 8 MICHAEL V. CORY, ESQ. For Defendant Christian Lee Dedmon 9 JOSEPH M. HOLLOMON, ESQ. For Defendant Hunter Thomas Elward 10 JASON M. KIRSCHBERG, ESQ., AND 11 JEFFERY P. REYNOLDS, ESQ. 12 For Defendant Daniel Ready Opdyke 13 VICKI L. GILLIAM, ESQ., AND ROBERT F. LINGOLD, JR., ESQ. 14 For Defendant Joshua Allen Hartfield 15 ALSO PRESENT: 16 MARY HELEN WALL, ESQ. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	Style and appearances
3	Court Reporter's Certificate
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

IN OPEN COURT, AUGUST 3, 2023

2.1

THE COURT: Be seated.

The case that is presently before the Court this morning is No. 3:23-62 on the criminal docket, United States versus Brett Morris McAlpin, Jeffrey Arwood Middleton, Christian Lee Dedmon, Hunter Thomas Elward, Daniel Ready Opdyke, and Joshua Allen Hartfield, before the Court today on the Court's understanding that the defendants, having been before the Court, the magistrate judge, earlier this morning and having entered pleas of not guilty on an information filed, are now before the Court to enter a plea of guilty on the charges.

Is the Government ready for trial -- for the proceeding?

MS. CHALK: We are, Your Honor. And if I may for the record --

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

MS. CHALK: -- Erin Chalk on behalf of the United
States for the Southern District of Mississippi. I have
Christopher Perras and John -- and, excuse me -- Daniel
Grunert from the Civil Rights Division, Glenda Haynes from
the U.S. Attorney's Office, and Mary Helen Wall designated
as a special assistant.

THE COURT: Thank you. And the Government is ready

```
to proceed?
 1
 2
           MS. CHALK: We are, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Are the defendants ready to proceed?
 3
    you lawyers will do so, identify yourselves for the record.
 4
 5
           MR. SELLERS: Yes, sir, Your Honor. If I may
    proceed on behalf of Brett Morris McAlpin, Aafram Sellers,
 6
7
    and we're ready to proceed.
           MR. TANNER: Good morning, Your Honor. Carlos
 8
 9
    Tanner on behalf of Jeffrey Arwood Middleton, and
    Mr. Middleton is ready to proceed.
10
11
           MR. CORY: Your Honor, Michael Cory on behalf of
12
    Christian Dedmon, and Mr. Dedmon is ready to proceed.
           MR. HOLLOMON: Good morning, Your Honor. Joe
13
14
    Hollomon on behalf of Hunter Elward. He is present this
15
    morning, and we're prepared to proceed.
           MR. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, Jeff Reynolds on behalf
16
17
    of Daniel Opdyke. I and my partner, Jason Kirschberg,
18
    represent him, and Mr. Opdyke is here and ready to proceed.
19
           MS. GILLIAM: Your Honor, Vicki Gilliam and Robert
20
    Lingold on behalf of Joshua Allen Hartfield. We're ready
2.1
    to proceed.
22
           THE COURT: All right. You can be seated.
23
           Let the defendants come to the podium. I would like
24
    for you to be positioned in the order in which your names
    appear on the information. You can come around and...
25
```

```
The Court is informed that you all wish to change
 1
 2
    the pleas previously entered this morning of not guilty to
 3
    a plea of quilty to the information.
 4
           Is that correct, Mr. McAlpin?
 5
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
 6
 7
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
 8
 9
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
10
11
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, sir.
12
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
13
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
14
           THE COURT: Opdyke.
15
           And, Mr. Hartfield?
16
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, Your Honor.
17
           THE COURT: Before accepting your pleas, there's a
18
    series of questions that I'll need to ask to be sure that
    the pleas are valid. If you don't understand a question or
19
20
    at any time need to talk to your lawyer, you should do so,
2.1
    because it's essential to a valid plea that you understand
22
    questions before you undertake to answer them.
23
           Let the clerk administer the oath to the defendants.
         (Whereupon, the defendants were placed under oath.)
24
25
           THE COURT: Do you understand that, having been
```

```
sworn, you could be subjecting yourselves to a future
1
 2
    prosecution for perjury if you don't answer truthfully the
 3
    questions that I pose to you this morning, perjury being
    the false swearing under oath?
 4
 5
           Do you understand that, Mr. McAlpin?
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
 8
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
10
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
11
12
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
13
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
14
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
15
           THE COURT: And, Mr. Hartfield?
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
16
17
           THE COURT: I'm going to take each one of you
18
    individually and ask you a few questions.
19
           Mr. McAlpin, how old are you?
20
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: I'm 52 years old.
2.1
           THE COURT: What education do you have?
22
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: I have a bachelor's degree.
23
           THE COURT:
                       Where do you live?
24
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: I live in Braxton, Mississippi.
25
           THE COURT: What is your occupation?
```

```
DEFENDANT MCALPIN: I'm a former chief investigator
 1
 2
    for the Rankin County Sheriff's Department.
 3
           THE COURT: Are you married?
 4
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: No, sir.
 5
           THE COURT: Have you ever been married?
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, sir.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: Do you have children?
 8
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, sir.
 9
           THE COURT: How many children and what ages?
10
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: I have two boys, 23 and age 20.
11
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton, how old are you?
12
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Forty-five years old, Your
    Honor.
13
14
           THE COURT: What education do you have?
15
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: High school diploma.
           THE COURT: What has been your occupation?
16
17
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Lieutenant with the Rankin
18
    County Sheriff's Department.
19
           THE COURT: Where do you live?
20
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: I live in Florence,
2.1
    Mississippi.
22
           THE COURT: Are you married?
23
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, sir.
24
           THE COURT: Do you have children?
25
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
1
           THE COURT: How many and what are their ages?
 2
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: I have two. I have my
 3
    daughter that's 12 and a son that's 15.
 4
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon, how old are you?
 5
           DEFENDANT DEDMON:
                               Twenty-eight.
           THE COURT: What education do you have?
 6
 7
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: High school diploma.
 8
           THE COURT: What is your occupation?
 9
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Former narcotics investigator for
    the Rankin County Sheriff's Office.
10
11
           THE COURT: Where is your home?
12
           Dd: Pearl, Mississippi. Pearl, Mississippi.
13
           THE COURT: Are you married?
14
               No, sir.
           Dd:
15
           THE COURT: Have you ever been married?
16
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
                       Do you have children?
17
           THE COURT:
18
           DEFENDANT DEDMON:
                              Yes, sir.
19
           THE COURT: How old are they and -- what are their
20
    ages?
2.1
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: One daughter who's six.
22
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward, am I pronouncing your name
23
    correctly?
24
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: How old are you?
25
```

```
1
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Thirty-one years old.
 2
           THE COURT: What education do you have?
 3
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: High school diploma.
 4
           THE COURT: Where is your home?
 5
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Florence, Mississippi.
           THE COURT: What has been your occupation?
 6
 7
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: A patrol deputy for the Rankin
    County Sheriff's Department.
 8
 9
           THE COURT: Are you married?
           DEFENDANT ELWARD:
10
                              I am.
11
           THE COURT: Do you have children?
12
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: I do.
           THE COURT: How many children and how old are they?
13
14
           DEFENDANT ELWARD:
                              I got twin boys just turned nine
15
    and a younger one that's six.
16
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke, is that the correct
    pronunciation of your name, Opdyke?
17
18
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
19
           THE COURT: How old are you?
20
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Twenty-seven.
2.1
           THE COURT: What education do you have?
22
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: High school diploma.
23
           THE COURT: What is your occupation?
24
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Former patrol deputy for the
    Rankin County Sheriff's Department.
25
```

```
THE COURT: Where is your home?
 1
 2
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Florence, Mississippi.
 3
           THE COURT: Are you married?
 4
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, sir.
 5
           THE COURT: Do you have children?
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, sir.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: How many children, and what are their
 8
    ages?
 9
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: One son. He's 11.
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield, how old are you?
10
11
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Thirty-one, sir.
12
           THE COURT: What education do you have?
13
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: High school, Your Honor.
14
           THE COURT: What is your occupation?
15
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Former narcotics investigator
    at Richland Police Department.
16
17
           THE COURT: Where is your home?
18
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Florence, Mississippi.
19
           THE COURT: Are you married?
20
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, I am.
2.1
           THE COURT: Do you have children?
22
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, I do. Seven and
23
    six.
24
           THE COURT: Have you taken any drugs, medicine, or
25
    pills or drunk any alcoholic beverages over the last
```

```
1
    24 hours, Mr. McAlpin?
 2
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: No, Your Honor.
 3
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton.
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: No, Your Honor.
 4
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
 5
           Dd: No, sir.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
 8
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: No, sir.
 9
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
10
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, sir.
11
           THE COURT: What have you taken?
12
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Drank alcohol yesterday
    afternoon.
13
14
           THE COURT: I didn't understand specifically.
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: I drank alcohol yesterday
15
16
    afternoon.
           THE COURT: How much and --
17
18
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: A couple beers about 3:00 in the
19
    afternoon.
20
           THE COURT: Would that in any way affect your
2.1
    ability to be rational and think this morning?
22
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: No, Your Honor.
23
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir. Prescription
24
25
    medication.
```

```
1
           THE COURT: Does it influence your mental processes?
 2
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: No, sir, Your Honor, it does
 3
    not.
 4
           THE COURT: All right, sir.
 5
           Have you ever been treated by a doctor or other
    medical professional, either in a hospital or a clinic,
 6
 7
    under any circumstances, Mr. McAlpin?
 8
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: No, Your Honor.
 9
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: No, Your Honor.
10
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: No, Your Honor.
11
           THE COURT: With a mental sickness, disease, or
12
    disorder?
13
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: No, Your Honor.
14
           THE COURT: All right.
15
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: No, Your Honor.
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: No, Your Honor.
16
17
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: No, Your Honor.
18
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: No, sir.
19
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: No, Your Honor.
20
           THE COURT: In order to enter a valid plea, you must
2.1
    be mentally competent to do so, which means that you must
22
    be able to understand what is happening, what this hearing
23
    is about, its seriousness and potential consequences to
24
    your future, and you must be able to consult with your
25
    attorney or attorneys and understand their advice to you
```

```
1
    and act accordingly.
 2
           Under that definition, are you mentally competent to
 3
    enter a valid plea?
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
           THE COURT: Are you sir?
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
10
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
11
           THE COURT: You must have also been competent at the
12
    time alleged in the information, which is on or about
    January 24th, 2003 [sic]. There's a different definition
13
14
    of competence applicable for this purpose. You must have
    been able on or about that date to know the difference
15
16
    between right and wrong.
17
           Back in January of this year, did you know right
18
    from wrong, Mr. McAlpin?
19
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
20
2.1
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
22
23
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
24
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
25
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
 1
 2
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
           THE COURT: I'll ask counsel for Mr. McAlpin what
 6
    position you take as to your client's competence to enter a
 7
    plea and his competence at the time alleged in the
    information.
 8
           MR. SELLERS: Your Honor, we raise no issue as to
    competency at either time.
10
11
           THE COURT: What's your position, Mr. Tanner?
12
           MR. TANNER: Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Middleton,
13
    we raise no issues as to his competency.
14
           THE COURT: And with regard to Mr. Dedmon, sir?
           MR. CORY: On behalf of Mr. Dedmon, we raise no
15
16
    issues of competency.
17
           THE COURT: What position do you take with regard to
18
    Mr. Elward?
19
           MR. HOLLOMON: Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Elward,
20
    we raise no issue of competence.
2.1
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
22
           MR. REYNOLDS: Yes, sir. Jeff Reynolds on behalf of
23
    Mr. Opdyke.
24
           Your Honor, we don't raise issues of competence as
25
    to the two incidents charged, the one in December of 2022
```

```
and the one in January of this year.
 1
 2
           THE COURT: All right.
 3
           MR. LINGOLD: Your Honor, Robert Lingold on behalf
    of Joshua Hartfield.
 4
 5
           We raise no issue as to competence.
           THE COURT: I'm realizing that, of course, there's a
 6
7
    second information, and I won't ask any questions about
    that until another hearing later today.
 8
           I conclude that based on my observation of you, your
    respective demeanors, and your answers to questions, and
10
11
    reinforced by your lawyers' expression of opinion that you
12
    are all indeed competent to enter a plea and that you were
    competent at the time alleged in the information.
13
14
           Have you had an adequate or satisfactory opportunity
15
    to discuss your case with your attorney or attorneys and
    consider any possible defenses to the charges?
16
17
    Mr. Middleton -- McAlpin, rather?
18
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
19
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
20
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
2.1
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
22
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
23
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
24
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
25
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
```

```
DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
 1
 2
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
 3
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorney's
 4
 5
    representation, the amount of time that he or she has
 6
    dedicated to your case, and that -- the advice you received
 7
    from them? Mr. McAlpin?
 8
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
12
13
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
14
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
15
16
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
17
           MR. HOLLOMON: Your Honor, I believe Mr. Elward
18
    should respond.
19
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward, I'm -- go ahead.
20
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
2.1
           THE COURT: Yes, I skipped, and I was coming back to
22
    that.
23
           All of you have certain rights under the
24
    Constitution and laws of this country that I will now
25
    explain to you. You have a right to be tried in front of a
```

```
jury of 12 people. All of them would have to vote guilty;
 1
 2
    that is, unanimous, before you could be found guilty.
 3
           Do you understand that, Mr. McAlpin?
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
 5
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
 9
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
12
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
13
14
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
15
           THE COURT: Under our system of justice, you're
    presumed to be innocent. You don't have to prove your
16
17
    innocence. If your cases were to go to trial, the
18
    Government, the prosecution, would have the burden of
    proving your guilt by competent evidence beyond a
19
    reasonable doubt.
20
2.1
           Do you understand that, Mr. McAlpin?
22
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
23
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
24
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
25
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
```

```
1
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
 2
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
 3
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
 5
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE:
                              Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
 6
 7
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: At a trial, the Government would have to
 8
 9
    bring to court the witnesses against you who would testify
10
    in front of you and your lawyer or lawyers. Your counsel
11
    would have the right to object to testimony on the basis of
12
    it being inadmissible under the rules of evidence and could
13
    cross-examine witnesses and present testimony in your own
14
    defenses, including your own testimony if you decide to
15
    testify. And there would be available to you the subpoena
    power of the Court to compel or require the attendances --
16
17
    the attendance of witnesses that you wanted to have here.
18
           Do you understand that, Mr. McAlpin?
19
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
20
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
2.1
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
22
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
23
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
24
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke? Mr. Elward and then
25
    Mr. Opdyke.
```

```
DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
 1
 2
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
           THE COURT: And, Mr. Hartfield?
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
           THE COURT: I just referenced the fact you could
 6
    testify. You would also have the right not to testify,
    which means that if you elected not to testify, the
7
    Government -- no one on behalf of the Government could make
 8
    any comment or reference whatsoever to the fact that you
    didn't testify. For example, that it's suspicious or maybe
10
11
    you must be guilty since you didn't defend yourself in
12
    testimony.
13
           Do you understand that?
14
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
15
           THE COURT: Do you also?
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
16
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
17
18
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
19
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
20
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
2.1
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
22
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
23
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
24
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
25
           THE COURT: If you go forward with guilty pleas,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
you'll be waiving, or giving up, your right to a trial and
these various rights that I've just now explained to you.
Once I receive your plea, you won't be able then to change
your mind, withdraw your plea, and expect to have a trial.
      Do you understand that, Mr. McAlpin?
      DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
      DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
      DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
      DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
      DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
      DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: Also, before I will accept your pleas,
I'll need to be satisfied that the pleas are appropriate,
which means that you will have to give up, or waive, your
right to remain silent, your right not to incriminate
yourself, and acknowledge your guilt before I'll accept
your pleas.
      Do you realize that, Mr. McAlpin?
      DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, sir.
      THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
```

```
1
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
 2
 3
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
 4
 5
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
 7
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: And Mr. Hartfield?
 8
 9
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: After this explanation by me and having
10
11
    heard the explanation of your right to a trial and all the
12
    other rights, I'll ask you whether you still want to go
    forward with a guilty plea.
13
14
           Mr. McAlpin?
15
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
16
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
17
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
18
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
19
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
20
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
2.1
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
22
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
23
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
24
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
25
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'm going to now make an explanation of the information that's been filed. Consists of 13 counts, some of them applicable to all of you, others to some of you. All of the counts state and then reallege that at all times on the day of this -- the events of this information, Mr. McAlpin, you were employed as the chief investigator with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office in Rankin County. Mr. Middleton, you were employed as a lieutenant with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office. Mr. Dedmon, you were employed as a narcotics investigator by the Rankin County Sheriff's Office. Mr. Elward, you were employed as a patrol deputy with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office. And, Mr. Opdyke, you were employed as a patrol deputy with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office. And, Mr. Hartfield, you were employed as a narcotics investigator with the Richland Police Department. And then there are a number of paragraphs setting out facts, introductory facts, background information, and then events detailing alleged acts. Count 1 then specifically alleges that on or about January 24th, 2023, in Rankin County, in the Northern Division of the Southern District of Mississippi, and it

names all six of you, while acting under color of law,

knowingly and willfully conspired and agreed to injure,

oppress, threaten, and intimidate MJ and EP, the initials of the two individuals, in their free exercise and enjoyment of the right, secured to them by the Constitution and laws of the United States, to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures, which includes the right to be free from unlawful, warrantless entry into a home and from the use of unreasonable force by a law enforcement officer.

In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the objects thereof, you, the six of you, committed the overt acts set forth in other paragraphs 21 through 56 of the information.

The essential elements of this crime, this criminal offense, are explained as follows: Title 18, United States Code 241 makes it a crime for anyone to knowingly agree with another person to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate another person in the free exercise and enjoyment of the rights secured to him by the Constitution and laws of the United States. And there are four elements or components of this charge:

First, that the defendants entered into a conspiracy to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate one or more persons.

Second, that they specifically intended by the conspiracy to hinder, prevent, or interfere with MJ's and EP's enjoyment of the rights secured by the Constitution or

2.1

laws of the United States. The constitutional right at issue in Count 1 is the right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures, which includes the right to be free from unlawful, warrantless entry into a home and the right to be free from the use of unreasonable force by a law enforcement officer.

Third, that the defendants acted under color of law.

And, fourth, that at least one member of the conspiracy committed an overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

There are four elements that are required to establish a Fourth Amendment violation under a malicious prosecution theory, and they are:

One, that the defendants made, influenced, or participated in the decision to bring criminal charges against the defendant.

Two, that there was no probable cause for the prosecution.

Third, as a consequence, the victims suffered a deprivation of liberty apart from the initial arrest.

And, fourth, that the criminal proceeding was resolved in the victim's favor.

And a definition of conspiracy is an agreement between two or more persons to join together to accomplish some unlawful purpose. It's a kind of partnership in crime

```
in which each member of the conspiracy becomes the agent of
 1
 2
    every other member.
 3
           Now, after that explanation by me, I'll ask you
    whether you understand with what criminal offense you're
 4
 5
    charged in Count 1 of the indictment, Mr. McAlpin?
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, sir.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
 8
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
                               Yes, sir.
10
           DEFENDANT DEDMON:
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
11
12
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
13
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
14
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
15
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
16
17
           THE COURT: And let me say that some of the -- after
18
    this first one, some of the counts charge violations of the
19
    same or similar statutes or laws, and much of the language
20
    regarding the essential elements of these respective
2.1
    criminal offenses is similar and repetitious, even
22
    identical in some respects. But I want to be sure that
23
    there's an accurate, clear, and complete explanation for
24
    each one. And there's going to be some repetition, but
25
    many of these charges are similar, but I'm going to cover
```

all the elements with one each.

Count 2 is as follows, and of course there's the introductory part of your capacity since you were acting in the introductory paragraphs. Then, specifically, Count 2 alleges that on or about January 24th, 2023, in Rankin County, all six of you, while acting under color of law and aiding and abetting one another, willfully deprived MJ of the right, secured and protected by the Constitution and laws of the United States, to be free from unreasonable seizures, which includes the right to be free from the use of unreasonable force by a law enforcement officer.

Specifically, the defendants, one, physically assaulted MJ while he was handcuffed and compliant by punching and kicking him; Tasing him; throwing eggs at him; and pouring milk, alcohol, and chocolate syrup over his head and into his mouth; and, two, failed to intervene to protect MJ from being assaulted, despite the opportunity to do so. This offense involved the use of dangerous weapons and resulted in bodily injury to MJ.

Now, the essential elements of the offense described in Count 2 are now explained. Title 18, United States

Code, Section 242 makes it a crime for anyone acting under color of law to willfully deprive any person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

There are four elements of this crime:

2.1

First, that the defendants, all of you charged, deprived a person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States by committing one or more of the acts charged in the information. The constitutional right at issue in Count 2 is MJ's right to be free from unreasonable seizures, which includes the right to be free from the use of unreasonable force by a law enforcement officer.

Second, that the defendants acted willfully; that is, if they committed such act or acts with a bad purpose to disobey or disregard the law specifically intending to deprive the person of that right.

Third, that they acted under color of law.

And, fourth, that bodily injury resulted from the defendants' conduct or that the offense involved the use of a dangerous weapon.

Definition of "bodily injury" is a cut, abrasion, bruise, burn, or disfigurement; physical pain, illness, impairment of a function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty; or any other injury to the body, no matter how temporary.

Following that explanation, I'll ask each of you whether you understand with what criminal offense you're charged in Count 1 -- Count 2, rather, of the information.

Mr. McAlpin?

1 DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Middleton? 3 DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon? 4 5 DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Elward? 6 7 DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke? 9 DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Hartfield? 10 THE COURT: 11 DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Count 3 realleges all of the first 81 13 paragraphs, and then paragraph 87 specifically charges, 14 again, all six of you, on or about January 24th, 2023, in 15 Rankin County, Mississippi, while acting under color of law and aiding and abetting one another, willfully deprived EP 16 17 of the right secured and protected by the Constitution and 18 laws of the United States to be free from unreasonable seizures, which includes the right to be free from the use 19 20 of unreasonable force by a law enforcement officer. 2.1 Specifically, you're all charged with physically --22 and there are two specifically: One, physically assaulted 23 EP while he was handcuffed and compliant by punching and 24 kicking him; striking him with objects, including a metal 25 sword, pieces of wood, and a wooden kitchen implement;

Tasing him; throwing eggs at him; and pouring milk, alcohol, and chocolate syrup over his head and into his mouth; and, two, failed to intervene to protect EP from being assaulted, despite the opportunity to do so. This offense involved the use of a dangerous weapons and resulted in bodily injury to EP.

The essential elements charged in Count 3 are as follows: Title 18, United States Code, Section 242 makes it a crime for anyone acting under color of law to willfully deprive any person of a right secured by the Constitution or the laws of the United States.

There are four elements of this crime:

First, that the defendants deprived a person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States by committing one or more of the acts charged in the information. The constitutional right at issue is Count 3 -- in Count 3 is EP's right to be free from unreasonable seizures, which includes the right to be free from the use of unreasonable force by a law enforcement officer.

Second, that the defendants acted willfully; that is, that they committed such act or acts with a bad purpose to disobey or disregard the law, specifically intending to deprive the person of that right.

Third, that they acted under color of law.

And, fourth, that bodily injury resulted from the

```
defendants' conduct, or that the offense involved the use
1
 2
    of a dangerous weapon. And I've given the definition of
    bodily injury, and that's apparent.
 3
           Do you understand the essential elements of the
 4
 5
    crime charged in Count 3 of the indictment?
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: Do you also?
 8
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
10
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
11
12
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
13
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
14
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: And Mr. Hartfield?
15
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
16
17
           THE COURT: Under Count 4, Mr. Dedmon, you're
18
    charged as follows: On or about January 24th, 2023, in
19
    Rankin County, you, while acting under color of law,
20
    willfully deprived EP of the right secured and protected by
    the Constitution and laws of the United States to be free
2.1
22
    from unreasonable seizures. Specifically, you discharged a
23
    firearm in close proximity to EP for the purpose of scaring
24
    EP. This offense involved the use of a dangerous weapon.
25
           The essential elements involved in Count 4 are as
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Title 18, United States Code, Section 242 makes follows: it a crime for anyone acting under color of law to willfully deprive any person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States. There are two elements of this crime: First, that you deprived a person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States by committing one or more of the acts charged in the information. The constitutional right at issue in Count 4 is EP's right to be free from unreasonable searches. And, second, that you acted willfully; that is, that you committed such act or acts with a bad purpose to disobey or disregard the law, specifically intending to deprive the person of that right, and that you acted under color of law as charged in Count 3. And then, fourth, that the offense involved the use of a dangerous weapon. Mr. Dedmon, do you understand the criminal offense with which you're charged in Count 4 of the indictment? DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Count 5 also charges you, Mr. Dedmon, as

THE COURT: Count 5 also charges you, Mr. Dedmon, as follows: that on or about January 24th, 2023, in Rankin County, you did knowingly use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm; that is, a Glock 17 Gen5, nine-millimeter caliber pistol, serial number BGSX861,

2.1

during and in relation to a crime of violence for which you may be prosecuted in a court of the United States; that is, deprivation of rights under color of law under Title 18, United States Code, Section 242 as charged in Count 4 of this information.

The essential elements of this crime are now explained. Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(c)(1) makes it a crime for anyone to knowingly use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm during or in relation to a crime of violence.

There are two elements of this -- or components of this crime:

First, that you committed the offense alleged in Count 4 and that deprivation of rights under color of law as charged in Count 4 is a crime of violence.

And, second, that you knowingly used and carried and brandished and discharged a firearm during and in relation to your commission of the crime charged in Count 4.

Using a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence means that you actively employed the firearm in the commission of Count 4, such as a use that is intended to bring about a change in the commission of Count 4, such as the use that is intended to bring about a change in the circumstances of the commission of that count.

"Active employment" means and includes brandishing,

displaying, referring to, bartering, striking with, firing, or attempting to fire the firearm. Use is more than mere possession of a firearm or having it available during the crime of violence.

To prove that you carried a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence means that you carried the firearm in the ordinary course of the word "carry," such as by transporting a firearm on the person or in a vehicle, and that your carrying the firearm cannot be merely coincidental or unrelated to the crime of violence.

"Brandish" means with respect to a firearm to display all or part of the firearm or otherwise make the presence of the firearm known to another person in order to intimidate that person, regardless of whether the firearm is directly visible to that person.

Having made that explanation to you, do you understand with what criminal offense you are charged in Count 5 of the indictment?

DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, sir, I do.

THE COURT: Then Count 6 charges Mr. Dedmon,
Mr. Elward, and Mr. Opdyke as follows: It's alleged that
on or about January 24th, 2023, in Rankin County,
Mississippi, the three of you, while acting under color of
law and aiding and abetting one another, willfully deprived
EP of the right, secured and protected by the Constitution

2.1

and laws of the United States, not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law, which includes the right to bodily integrity. Specifically, it's charged that Mr. Dedmon and Mr. Opdyke assaulted EP in the mouth and face with a dildo without EP's consent or a legitimate law enforcement purpose; and Elward failed to intervene to protect EP from being assaulted with a dildo, despite the opportunity to do so. This offense involved the use of a dangerous weapon and resulted in bodily injury to EP.

The essential elements of this crime are now explained. Title 18, United States Code, Section 242 makes it a crime for anyone acting under color of law to willfully deprive any person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

There are four elements of this charge:

First, that you, the three of you, are charged with depriving a person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States by committing one or more of the acts charged in the information. The constitutional right at issue is Count 6 -- or, rather, in Count 6 is EP's right not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law, which includes the right to bodily integrity.

Second, that you acted willfully; that is, that the defendant committed -- the defendants committed such act or acts with a purpose to disobey or disregard the law,

```
specifically intending to deprive the person of that right.
 1
           Third, that the defendants acted under color of law.
 2
           And, fourth, that the bodily injury resulted from
 3
    the defendants' conduct, or that the offense involved the
 4
 5
    use of a dangerous weapon.
           Mr. Dedmon, Mr. Elward, and Mr. Opdyke, do you
 6
7
    understand the criminal offense with which you're charged
    in Count 7 of the indictment?
 8
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
10
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, sir.
11
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
12
           MS. CHALK: Your Honor, I apologize for the
    interruption, but that was Count 6.
13
14
           THE COURT: Six? I was taking them in order, and I
15
    perhaps had one stuck to my fingers.
16
           MS. CHALK: Your Honor, I believe it could clear it
17
    up if you asked those three defendants if they understood
18
    the elements as charged in Count 6 of the information.
19
           THE COURT: All right. Do the three of you who are
20
    charged in Count 6 understand the criminal offense with
2.1
    which you are charged in Count 6?
22
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, sir.
23
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
24
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
25
           THE COURT: All right. I'm going to take a moment
```

to get the papers in order.

2.1

The next count I'm going to discuss is Count 7.

Count 7 also charges Mr. Dedmon, Mr. Elward, and Mr. Opdyke as follows: On or about January 24, 2023, in Rankin

County, the three of you, while acting under color of law and aiding and abetting one another, willfully deprived MJ of the right, secured and protected by the Constitution and laws of the United States, not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law, which includes the right to bodily integrity. Specifically, Mr. Dedmon and Mr. Opdyke assaulted MJ in the mouth and face with a dildo without

MJ's consent or a legitimate law enforcement purpose. And Elward failed to intervene to protect MJ from being assaulted with a dildo, despite the opportunity to do so. This offense involved the use of a dangerous weapon and resulted in bodily injury to MJ.

The essential elements of Count 7 are now explained. Title 18, United States Code, Section 242 makes it a crime for anyone acting under color of law to willfully deprive any person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

There are four essential elements of this crime:

First, that the defendants, that you, deprived a person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States by committing one or more of the acts

2.1

charged in the information. The constitutional right at issue in Count 7 is MJ's right not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law, which includes the right to bodily integrity.

Second, that you acted willfully; that is, that you committed such act or acts with a bad purpose to disobey or disregard the law, specifically intending to deprive the person of that right.

Third, that you acted under color of law.

Fourth, that bodily integrity resulted [sic] from your conduct, and that the offense involved the use of a dangerous weapon.

Carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence means that you carried the firearm in the ordinary meaning of the word "carry," such as by transporting a firearm on the person or in a vehicle, and carrying the firearm cannot be merely coincidental or unrelated to the crime of violence. And "brandishing" means with respect to a firearm displaying all or part of the firearm or otherwise making the presence of a firearm known to another person in order to intimidate the person, regardless of whether the firearm is directly visible to that person.

And the essential elements of Count 8 -- of Count 7, rather, are now explained. Title 18, United States Code,

```
Section 242 makes it a crime for anyone acting under color
1
 2
    of law to willfully deprive any person of a right secured
    by the Constitution or laws of the United States.
 3
           And there are four essential elements of this crime:
 4
           First --
 5
           MS. CHALK: Excuse me, Your Honor. I hate to
 6
7
    interrupt, but we -- we're on Count 7, and I believe that
    you've read the elements for Count 7. And there may have
 8
    been a page out of order.
           THE COURT: I've covered Count 7. Thank you, ma'am,
10
11
    for calling that to my attention.
12
           MS. CHALK: Yes, Your Honor.
13
           THE COURT: The next count is Count 8, alleges
14
    that --
           MS. CHALK: Your Honor, if I may, before you go into
15
    Count 8, would you ask those three defendants if they
16
    understand those elements as charged in Count 7?
17
18
           THE COURT: Do you understand the elements as
    charged in Count 7?
19
20
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, sir.
2.1
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
22
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
23
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
24
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
25
           THE COURT: Count 8 charges -- and, again,
```

2.1

Mr. Elward, you're the sole defendant in this charge -- on or about January 24th, 2023, in Rankin County, you,
Mr. Elward, while acting under color of law, willfully deprived MJ of the right, secured and protected by the Constitution and laws of the United States, to be free from unreasonable seizures, which includes the right to be free from the use of unreasonable force by a law enforcement officer.

Specifically, it's charged that you forced your gun into MJ's mouth and pulled the trigger. The gun discharged, and the bullet lacerated MJ's tongue, broke MJ's jaw, and exited out of MJ's neck. The offense involved the use of a dangerous weapon.

And the essential elements of this charge are explained as follows: Title 18, United States Code, Section 242 makes it a crime for anyone acting under color of law to willfully deprive any person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

There are four essential elements of this crime:

First, that you deprived a person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States by committing one or more of the acts charged in the information. The constitutional right at issue in Count 8 is MJ's right to be free from unreasonable seizures, which includes the right to be free from the use of unreasonable

```
force by a law enforcement officer.
1
 2
           Second, that you acted willfully; that is, that you
 3
    committed such act or acts with a bad purpose to disobey or
    disregard the law, intending to deprive the person of that
 4
    right.
 5
           Third, that you acted under color of law.
 6
 7
           And, fourth, that the law involved the use of a
 8
    dangerous weapon.
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
                      Do you understand that crime that you're
10
11
    charged with?
12
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Count 9 alleges, Mr. Elward, that on or
13
14
    about January 24th, 2023, in Rankin County, you knowingly
    used, carried, and discharged a firearm; that is, a Glock
15
    17 Gen5, nine-millimeter caliber pistol, serial number
16
17
    BWMD253, during and in relation to a crime of violence for
18
    which you may be prosecuted in a court of the United
    States; that is, deprivation of rights under color of law
19
20
    under Title 18, United States Code, Section 242 as charged
2.1
    in Count 8 of the indictment.
22
           MR. HOLLOMON: Your Honor, may I have just a moment?
23
           THE COURT: Yes, sir.
24
           MS. CHALK: Your Honor, if I may interrupt, in the
25
    Court's recitation of the count as charged in Count 9,
```

```
1
    knowingly used, carried, brandished, and discharged a
    firearm, it identifies a Glock 17 Gen5, nine-millimeter.
 2
 3
    Mr. Hollomon and Mr. Elward tell me that that is a Glock 45
    pistol. At this time, we make a motion for a scrivener's
 4
 5
    error to replace the description of the Glock 17 to a Glock
 6
    45.
 7
           THE COURT: That motion is granted.
           MS. CHALK: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
 9
           MR. HOLLOMON:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
                       I've stated what Count 9 alleges.
10
           THE COURT:
11
           The essential elements of Count 9 as charged are
12
    stated as follows:
           First, that you committed the offense alleged in
13
14
    Count 8 and that deprivation of rights under color of law
    as charged in Count 8 is a crime of violence.
15
16
           And, second, that you knowingly used, carried,
17
    brandished, and discharged a firearm during or in relation
18
    to your commission of the crime charged in Count 8.
19
           And the defendant using the firearm during and in
    relation to a crime of violence means that the defendant
20
2.1
    actively employed the firearm in the commission of Count 8
22
    such as a use that is intended to or brings about a change
23
    in the circumstances of the commission of that count.
24
           Active employment may include brandishing,
25
    displaying, referring to, bartering, striking with, firing,
```

or attempting to fire the firearm.

2.1

Use is more than mere possession of a firearm or having it available during the crime of violence.

And carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence means that you carried the firearm in the ordinary meaning of the word "carry," such as by transporting the firearm on your person or in a vehicle. Your carrying of the firearm cannot be merely coincidental or unrelated to the crime of violence.

The term "brandish" means with respect to a firearm to display all or part of the firearm or otherwise make the presence of the firearm known to another person in order to intimidate the person, regardless of whether the firearm is directly visible to that person.

After that explanation, I ask you whether you understand with what criminal offense you're charged in Count 9 of the information?

DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Count 10 charges all six of you. I'm not going to state -- give your names again, but all six of you are charged, and it's alleged that on or about January 24th, 2023, in Rankin County, six of you, while acting under color of law, willfully deprived MJ of the right, secured and protected by the Constitution and laws of the United States, not to be deprived of liberty without

2.1

needs.

due process of law, which includes an arrestee's right to be free from a law enforcement officer's deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs.

Specifically, after Elward shot MJ in the mouth and MJ was bleeding from the mouth and neck, in clear need of medical care, you attempted to cover up the misconduct rather than provide MJ with medical care, thereby acting with deliberate indifference to a substantial risk of harm to MJ.

And the essential elements or components of this crime, Count 10, are now explained. Title 18, United States Code, Section 242 makes it a crime for anyone acting under color of law to willfully deprive any person of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

There are four essential elements of this crime:

First, that you deprived -- all of you deprived MJ of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States, specifically MJ's right not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law, which includes an arrestee's right to be free from a law enforcement

Second, that you acted willfully; that is, that all of you committed such act or acts with a bad purpose to

officer's deliberate indifference to his serious medical

```
disobey or disregard the law, specifically intending to
1
 2
    deprive MJ of that right.
 3
           Third, that you acted under color of law.
           And, fourth, that bodily injury resulted from your
 4
    conduct.
 5
 6
           "Bodily injury" means -- it's a number of -- but
7
    shooting him is clearly a bodily injury. I'm not going to
    go into all of that.
 8
 9
           Do you understand the criminal offense that you're
    charged with in Count 10 of the indictment, Mr. McAlpin?
10
11
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
12
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
13
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
14
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
15
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
16
17
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
18
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
19
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
20
2.1
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
22
           THE COURT: Then Count 11 is subtitled "Conspiracy
23
    to Obstruct Justice." It alleges a conspiracy as follows:
    From on or about January 24th -- if I didn't say all six of
24
25
    you, all six of you are charged here. From on or about
```

January 24th, 2023, through on or about April 2023, in Rankin County, each one of you, all of you, knowingly and willfully conspired and agreed to knowingly corruptly persuade, attempt to corruptly persuade, or engage in misleading conduct toward another person, with the intent to hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a federal law enforcement officer or judge of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a federal offense, in violation of Title 18, Section 1512(b)(3).

And the purpose of the conspiracy was to cover up your official misconduct, including but not limited to, acts set forth in paragraphs 21 through 56.

The manner and means by which it's alleged that you sought to accomplish the objects and purposes -- purpose of the conspiracy included advising a false cover story, as set forth in paragraphs 57 through 60 of the information, and engaging in a variety of obstructive acts to corroborate that false cover story and cover up your misconduct, as set forth in paragraphs 61 through 81 of this information. And there are various overt acts in furtherance of the conspiracy that are charged in paragraphs 61 through 81.

I'll ask each one of you whether you understand with what criminal offense you are charged in Count 11 of the indictment.

```
DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
 1
 2
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: Count 12 charges -- yes, ma'am?
 8
           MS. CHALK: Would you mind reading the elements for
 9
    Count 12, Your Honor, before -- for Count 11 before
    proceeding to Count 12?
10
11
           THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry I missed that. There
12
    are four -- and thank you for calling that to my attention.
           MS. CHALK: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
14
           THE COURT: Title 18, United States Code, Section
15
    1512(k) makes it a crime for two or more persons -- wait,
    I'm on Count 11. That's right?
16
17
           MS. CHALK: Yes, Your Honor.
18
           THE COURT: I'm going to start over with Count 11.
    Title 18 -- with reading the essential elements. Title 18,
19
20
    United States Code, Section 1512(k) makes it a crime for
2.1
    two or more persons to knowingly conspire to commit any
22
    offense under this subsection, including a violation of
    Section 1512(b)(3), which makes it a crime to knowingly
23
24
    hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law
25
    enforcement officer or a judge of the United States of
```

information relating to the commission or possible commission of a federal offense.

There are four essential elements of this crime:

First, that you -- I'm addressing all of you -- knowingly and willfully conspired and agreed to knowingly corruptly persuade, attempt to corruptly persuade, or engage in misleading conduct toward another person.

Second, that you acted with the intent to hinder, delay, or prevent the communication of information to a federal law enforcement officer or judge.

Third, that the information at issue related to the commission or possible commission of a federal offense.

And, fourth, that there was a reasonable likelihood that the communication would reach a federal official.

An act is done corruptly if a defendant acted knowingly and dishonestly with the specific intent to subvert or undermine the due administration of justice.

The term "misleading conduct" means knowingly making a false statement; intentionally omitting information from a statement and thereby causing a portion of such statement to be misleading or intentionally concealing a material fact, and thereby creating a false impression by such statement with intent to mislead; knowingly submitting or inviting reliance on a writing or recording that is false, forged, altered, or otherwise lacking in authenticity with

```
the intent to mislead; knowingly submitting or inviting
1
 2
    reliance on a sample, specimen, map, photograph, boundary
    mark, or other object that is misleading in a material
 3
    respect; or knowingly using a trick, scheme, or device with
 4
 5
    the intent to mislead. That's the explanations of Count 11
 6
    of the indictment.
 7
           Mr. McAlpin, do you understand what the charge is?
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
 9
           THE COURT: Mr. Middleton?
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
           THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?
12
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Elward?
13
14
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
15
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
16
17
           THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield?
18
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
19
           THE COURT: Then Count 12 charges each and all of
20
    you as follows: From on or about January 24th, 2023, and
21
    continuing to on or about February 3rd, 2023, in Rankin
22
    County, all six of you, aiding and abetting one another,
23
    knowingly corruptly persuaded, attempted to corruptly
24
    persuade, and engaged in misleading conduct toward another
25
    person with the intent to hinder, delay, and prevent the
```

2.1

communication to a federal law enforcement officer and judge of information relating to the commission and possible commission of a federal offense. Specifically, Defendants McAlpin, Middleton, Dedmon Elward, Opdyke, and Hartfield committed the obstructive acts set forth in paragraph 61 of this information.

And the essential elements or components of this charge are now explained. Title 18, United States Code, Section 1512(b)(3) makes it a crime for anyone to knowingly corruptly persuade, attempt to corruptly persuade, or engage in misleading conduct toward another person with the intent to hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a federal offense.

There are four essential elements of this charge:

First, that you knowingly corruptly persuaded, attempted to corruptly persuade, or engaged in misleading conduct toward another person.

Second, that you acted with the intent to hinder, delay, or prevent the communication of information to a federal law enforcement officer or judge.

Third, that the information at issue related to the commission or possible commission of a federal offense.

And, fourth, that there was a reasonable likelihood

2.1

that the communication would reach a federal official.

An act is done corruptly if one acted knowingly and dishonestly with a specific intent to subvert or undermine the due administration of justice.

The term "misleading conduct" means, A, knowingly making a false statement; B, intentionally omitting information from a statement and thereby causing a portion of such statement to be misleading, or intentionally concealing a material fact and thereby creating a false impression by such statement; C, with intent to mislead, knowingly submitting or inviting reliance on a writing or recording that is false, forged, altered, or otherwise lacking in authenticity; D, with intent to mislead, knowingly submitting or inviting reliance on a sample, specimen, map, photograph, boundary mark, or other object that is misleading in a material respect; or, E, knowingly using a trick, scheme, or device with intent to mislead.

Following my recitation of the essential elements of the count charged in 12, do you know -- do you understand?

DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also, sir?

DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Dedmon?

DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Elward?

1 DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke? 3 DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor. And, Mr. Hartfield? 4 THE COURT: 5 DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor. Then the final count, 13, accuses or THE COURT: 6 7 charges three of you, Brett Morris McAlpin, Christian Lee Dedmon, and Hunter Thomas Elward, that on or about 8 9 January 24th, 2023, to on or about January 25th, 2023, in 10 Rankin County, the three of you knowingly and willfully 11 conspired and agreed to injure, oppress, threaten, and 12 intimidate MJ in his free exercise and enjoyment of the right, secured to him by the Constitution and laws of the 13 14 United States, to be free from unreasonable seizures, which includes the right to be free from malicious prosecution. 15 16 Specifically, the three of you are charged with 17 conspiring to charge MJ with felony assault on a police 18 officer, felony possession of methamphetamine, and 19 misdemeanor disorderly conduct, without probable cause to believe that MJ had committed those crimes. And Dedmon and 20 21 Elward knowingly included false and misleading information 22 in the charging documents, as set forth in paragraphs 75 23 through 79. As a consequence, MJ was initially detained 24 and deprived of liberty pending resolution of the charges, 25 which were eventually dismissed.

2.1

The essential elements of Count 13 are now explained. Title 18, United States Code, Section 241 makes it a crime for anyone to knowingly agree with another person to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate another person in the free exercise and enjoyment of a right secured by -- to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

There are four elements of this crime:

First, that you entered into a conspiracy to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate one or more persons.

Second, that you specifically intended by the conspiracy to hinder, prevent, or interfere with MJ's enjoyment of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States, specifically MJ's constitutional right to be free from unreasonable seizures, which includes the right to be free from malicious prosecution.

Third, that you acted under color of law.

And, fourth, that at least one member of the conspiracy committed an overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

Now, to establish a Fourth Amendment violation under a malicious prosecution theory, there are four elements:

First, that you made, influenced, or participated in the decision to bring criminal charges against the victim.

Second, that there was no probable cause for

1 prosecution. 2 Third, that as a consequence, the victim suffered a deprivation of liberty apart from the initial arrest. 3 And, fourth, that the criminal proceeding was 4 resolved in the victim's favor. 5 Mr. McAlpin, Mr. Dedmon, and Mr. Elward, do you 6 7 understand the charge in Count 13? Do you? DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 9 DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And do you also? 10 11 DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Normally at this point in a change of 13 plea proceeding, I would go over the maximum penalty for 14 each count. I've gone over the elements but not the 15 penalties. I would go over the maximum penalty for each count and confirm a defendant's understanding of the 16 17 potential penalty. Rather than do that presently with all 18 of you collectively, I think the better course is to do so with each defendant individually, which I will do so in a 19 few minutes. 20 2.1 Also, it's the Court's understanding that each 22 defendant has entered into a plea agreement with the 23 Government. I haven't gone into the particulars of it, but

it's my intention soon to confirm this and go over the

terms of each defendant's plea agreement with that

24

25

individual defendant.

2.1

Before doing so, however, I would ask the Government is there anything common to all defendants in the plea agreements that you could express to all of them now?

MS. CHALK: Yes, Your Honor. In all six defendants' cases, they have a plea agreement that illustrates waivers, and they are common to each defendant. In each of the plea agreements, each defendant hereby expressly waives the following rights, except that the defendant reserves the right to raise ineffective assistance of counsel claims.

Each defendant waives the right to appeal the conviction and sentence or the manner in which that sentence was imposed under any ground whatsoever.

Each defendant waives the right to contest the conviction and sentence or the manner in which the sentence was imposed in any postconviction proceeding, including, but not limited to, a motion under Title 28, United States Code, Section 2255, and any type of proceeding claiming double jeopardy or excessive penalty as a result of any forfeiture ordered in this case.

Each defendant waives any right to seek attorney's fees and costs.

Each defendant waives all rights, whether asserted directly or by a representative, to request or receive records about this case under the Freedom of Information

Act or the Privacy Act.

2.1

Each defendant further acknowledges and agrees that any factual issues regarding the sentencing will be resolved by the sentencing judge under a preponderance of the evidence standard, and each defendant waives any right to a jury determination of these sentencing issues.

Each defendant further agrees that in making its sentencing decision, the District Court may consider any relevant evidence, without regard to its admissibility under the rules of evidence applicable at trial.

Your Honor, that's the common language in the plea agreements for each defendant. And we would ask when you are going through more particulars with each defendant, we'll ask the Court to have each defendant confirm that they understand the terms of those plea agreements and plea supplements.

THE COURT: All right. I will ask you now -- I want to confirm with each of you that you understand these various terms and provisions that have just been expressed by counsel for the Government in anticipation of my reviewing them and after the agreements have been approved.

Do you understand what they are, Mr. McAlpin?

DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke?

DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Middleton, Middleton, Your

```
1
    Honor.
 2
           THE COURT: I've got a different list there.
    ahead, sir.
 3
 4
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, Your Honor.
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
           THE COURT: All right. We're going to take a recess
    now for 30 minutes, and I'll ask counsel whether it's --
10
    we're going to take a recess, but I will be influenced by
11
12
    how much time you would request. What amount of time would
    you ask for? I could take less or more.
13
14
           MS. CHALK: Your Honor, we defer to the Court.
15
    We're ready to proceed when the Court is.
16
           THE COURT: You're ready?
17
           Are counsel for the defendants ready to proceed in
18
    just a few minutes?
19
           Okay. We're going to recess now for 15 minutes.
20
           MS. THOMAS: All rise.
2.1
                    (A lunch recess was taken.)
22
           THE COURT: We're resuming, and the Court is going
23
    to consider each defendant separately with regard to
24
    explaining the maximum penalties for each count, and then
25
    whether or not there's been a plea agreement and terms of
```

2.1

that, and determining, if there is such an agreement, whether it would be filed with the Court.

So the first defendant we will take is Brett Morris McAlpin. Let Mr. McAlpin with his lawyer come up.

Mr. McAlpin, I'm going to explain to you the maximum possible penalty on each count to which you've entered a plea -- to which you are here with regard to entering a plea of guilty. Count 1 and Count 13 each -- maximum penalty could be ten years' imprisonment, a \$250,000 fine, three years of supervised release, and a \$100 special assessment. That's ten years on Count 1, and ten years on Count 13.

Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then with regard to Counts 2, 3, and 10, deprivation of rights under color of law, the maximum penalty on Count 2 is ten years' imprisonment, a \$250,000 fine, three-year term of supervised release, and \$100 special assessment; that applies for Count 2. It also is applicable to Count 3, ten years, \$250,000 fine, and three years' supervised release. And Count 10, the same ten years maximum, \$250,000 fine maximum, and three-year term of supervised release maximum.

Then with regard to Count 11, conspiracy to obstruct justice, the maximum term of imprisonment is 20 years'

```
imprisonment, then a fine of $250,000, and three years of
 1
 2
    supervised release with a $100 special assessment.
           Then the other count, which is Count 12, obstruction
 3
    of justice, the maximum penalty is 20 years' imprisonment,
 4
 5
    a $250,000 fine, and three years' supervised release along
    with the $100 special assessment.
 6
 7
           Do you understand all of that, or do I need to
    explain anything to you about it? I didn't ask you for
 8
    each count, but do you understand that?
10
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.
11
           THE COURT: Has anyone threatened, coerced,
12
    harassed, intimidated you in any way to persuade you to
    come to court today to plead guilty?
13
14
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: No, sir, Your Honor.
15
           THE COURT: Are you appearing voluntarily of your
    own free will?
16
17
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
18
           THE COURT:
                      Have you entered into a plea agreement
19
    with a supplement with the Government? There are two
20
    documents, and your lawyer may need to explain that to you
2.1
    if you're not sure of my question.
22
           DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
23
           THE COURT: Did you read, understand, or with the
24
    assistance of counsel understand these documents and then
25
    also sign them?
```

1 DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, sir, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: As counsel for your client, Mr. Sellers, 3 did you go over the documents with him, explaining them to him as necessary, and have you also signed them? 4 5 MR. SELLERS: Yes, sir, Your Honor. THE COURT: Let me ask counsel for the Government 6 7 to -- well, describe or reference terms of the plea agreement that are not common to the others. You heard the 8 explanation by your associate before lunch about the --10 about that and that there would -- then there are matters 11 that are not common. Are you able to tell the Court what 12 they are? MR. PERRAS: Yes, Your Honor. The material terms of 13 14 the plea agreement with Defendant McAlpin are as follows: Mr. McAlpin has agreed to plead quilty to Counts 1, 15 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, and 13 as charged in the criminal 16 17 information. In exchange for the defendant's agreement to 18 plead quilty to those counts, the Government will recommend 19 that the defendant be sentenced as set forth in the plea 20 supplement that will be filed under seal. The plea 2.1 agreement and plea supplement have been executed by the 22 defendant, his attorney, and counsel for the Government. 23 The plea agreement includes waiver of trial and 24 appellate rights previously addressed by Ms. Chalk. And at 25 this time, Your Honor, the United States would recommend

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
that the Court have the defendant personally confirm that
he understands and agrees with the terms of the plea
agreement and supplement, including the waivers as outlined
by the Government.
      THE COURT: Mr. McAlpin, is the explanation by
counsel consistent with your understanding of your plea
agreement?
      DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: First, you indicated previously that you
understood these various agreements and waivers and
understood what they were. Do you now tell the Court that
you agree to specifically all of these terms and provisions
as well as what was just now referenced?
      DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: Do you realize with regard to any
recommendations by the Court, the Government -- the Court
oftentimes follows such recommendations, but I'm not bound
to. Do you understand that?
      DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: For example, if I end up giving you a
sentence that you don't like, do you realize you won't then
be able to withdraw your plea and demand a trial?
      DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: Nor will you be able to appeal your
conviction or sentence, because you've given that right up
```

in these agreements you've made. 1 2 DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Very well, then. Let the plea agreement 3 with the supplement be filed with the clerk and made a part 4 5 of the record and the supplement be filed under seal. MR. SELLERS: May I approach, Your Honor? 6 7 THE COURT: Yes, sir. I'm going to ask counsel for the Government now to state the factual basis for the 8 anticipated pleas. MR. PERRAS: Yes, Your Honor. The Government would, 10 11 if put to its burden at trial, it would prove the following 12 facts, which the defendant has stipulated to in paragraph 8 13 of the plea supplement. 14 On January 24th, 2023, Brett McAlpin was employed as chief investigator with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office. 15 That night, McAlpin received a complaint from his neighbor 16 17 about the residents of 135 Conerly Road, Braxton, 18 Mississippi. McAlpin called Christian Dedmon, a narcotics investigator with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office, and 19 20 told Dedmon to get some guys together, go to the property, 2.1 and handle the problem. 22 McAlpin surveilled the property from down the street 23 and coordinated over the radio with Dedmon and the other 24 officers: Lieutenant Jeffrey Middleton, Deputy Hunter 25 Elward, Deputy Daniel Opdyke, and Richland Police

2.1

Department Officer Joshua Hartfield. The other officers drove past McAlpin and parked in the property's driveway.

McAlpin followed them and parked in the driveway.

McAlpin exited his vehicle and walked to the carport door, which other officers had kicked down. McAlpin entered the house without consent, a warrant, or exigent circumstances. McAlpin knew that it was unlawful to enter a home without consent, a warrant, or exigent circumstances.

McAlpin saw two black men inside: MJ and EP. The men were not resisting, but officers were yelling at them and Tasing them. McAlpin knew that if he witnessed another officer using excessive force, he had a duty to intervene. Despite having the time and opportunity to intervene, McAlpin did not intervene.

Dedmon pulled out his gun, aimed it out the back door, and fired. McAlpin knew that it was unlawful for an officer to fire a weapon without a legitimate law enforcement purpose, but McAlpin did not intervene or order Dedmon to stop.

MJ and EP, still handcuffed, were brought to the living room. Officers called them racial slurs, including the word spelled n-i-g-g-e-r, and warned them to stay out of the neighborhood.

Middleton and McAlpin went into the back bedroom and

2.1

discussed another case. During their conversation, McAlpin heard Taser sounds and screams of pain coming from the living room, where his subordinates, Dedmon, Elward, and Opdyke, were detaining MJ and EP. Despite having the time and opportunity to intervene, McAlpin did not intervene.

Later on, MJ and EP were brought to the side bedroom. The officers began discussing the comparative strength of their respective Tasers, and they decided to test their Tasers out on MJ and EP to see which one was the most powerful. Dedmon, Elward, Hartfield, and Middleton each Tased MJ and EP while MJ and EP were handcuffed and not resisting. McAlpin knew that it was unlawful to Tase a handcuffed and compliant arrestee. Despite having the time and opportunity to intervene, McAlpin did not intervene.

McAlpin and Middleton left the side bedroom and walked to the front bedroom to steal personal property that had caught their attention during an earlier search of the house. McAlpin and Middleton each stole rubber bar mats, brought them out to their vehicles, and then came back inside to the back bedroom. McAlpin knew that it was unlawful to steal personal property during a search or arrest.

McAlpin heard two gunshots coming from the direction of the side bedroom. Elward told McAlpin that he had messed up and shot one of the men.

2.1

All six officers huddled up on the back screened-in porch to discuss what to do. They devised and agreed on a false cover story: that Elward brought MJ into the side bedroom to conduct a controlled drug buy over the phone; that Elward had removed MJ's handcuffs; that MJ had reached for a gun; and that Elward shot MJ in self-defense.

Elward said that he would take care of the gun.

Dedmon said that he would take care of the drugs. McAlpin understood those comments to mean that Elward would plant a gun on MJ, and Dedmon would plant drugs on MJ. McAlpin knew that it was unlawful to plant evidence on a suspect, but he did not intervene.

In order to limit the number of witnesses to the shooting, the officers agreed to tell investigators that at the time of the shooting, McAlpin and Middleton had left the property and were driving home; Dedmon and Hartfield were at Dedmon's truck; and Opdyke was escorting EP to a patrol car.

In order to corroborate his false cover story,

McAlpin told Dedmon that he was leaving and to call him in
a few minutes. McAlpin drove off in his Rankin County

Sheriff's Office-issued vehicle, which was enabled with GPS

tracking. Dedmon called him, and then McAlpin returned to
the scene.

McAlpin told the other officers that he would take

2.1

care of EP. McAlpin ordered Opdyke to take EP from the side bedroom, put him in the back of Opdyke's patrol car, and then unlock the back door so McAlpin could talk to EP. McAlpin opened the back door of Opdyke's patrol car, told EP that investigators with the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation were on their way, and asked EP what he was going to tell them. McAlpin suggested to EP that if EP went along with the cover story, then McAlpin would make sure that EP would be released from jail.

McAlpin wrote and submitted a false report, knowing at the time that it was false, for the purpose of covering up their misconduct. McAlpin was interviewed by investigators with the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation. Middleton -- sorry. McAlpin lied to MBI investigators and withheld material information for the purpose of covering up their misconduct.

McAlpin, Dedmon, and Elward agreed to file false charges on MJ in order to corroborate their false cover story. MJ was charged with felony aggravated assault on a police officer, felony possession of methamphetamine, and misdemeanor disorderly conduct.

McAlpin knew that as a law enforcement officer, it was unlawful to write a false report, to give a false statement to investigators, and to charge a person with crimes that he did not commit.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
All of this occurring in Rankin County, in the
Northern Division of the Southern District of Mississippi
within the jurisdiction of this Court.
       THE COURT: Mr. McAlpin, is what counsel just
detailed to the Court as to your commission of these
various offenses which you're before the Court on true and
accurate?
       DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
       THE COURT: Do you dispute anything that he said
about your involvement in this -- these crimes?
       DEFENDANT MCALPIN: No, Your Honor.
       THE COURT: Are you, in fact, guilty of the offenses
charged in Count 1 and 13, conspiracy against rights;
Counts 2, 3, and 10, deprivation of rights under color of
law; Count 11, conspiracy to obstruct justice; and
Count 12, obstruction of justice?
       DEFENDANT MCALPIN: Yes, Your Honor.
       THE COURT: Since you acknowledge that you are, in
fact, guilty as charged in the information as to all
counts, since you know your right to a trial, what the
maximum possible punishment is, and since you're
voluntarily pleading guilty, I will accept your guilty plea
and enter a judgment of guilty in your case.
       The probation office will initiate and conduct a
presentence investigation, in which event you will be
```

2.1

```
interviewed with your lawyer present with regard to that investigation. After it's been completed, a written presentence investigation report will be prepared and submitted.
```

You and your attorney will have the opportunity to inspect that report. If you should contend that there are errors in the report, either as to proposed factual findings or guideline sentence application, then your attorney may take that up with the probation officer and can file written objections. If there are any outstanding issues or disputes on the day of sentencing, I will resolve them after first giving you a chance to present anything that you need to present in support of your position.

After we consider -- the Court considers all six of the cases, I'll arrive at a date for the sentencing disposition, but that concludes -- does the Government have any comment to make or the defendant about this?

MR. PERRAS: Your Honor, the defendants are all in custody. We would move that they remain in custody pending sentencing pursuant to 18 USC 3143(a)(2).

THE COURT: Yes, sir. I understand.

And do you have any response to that?

MR. SELLERS: No, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Y'all can be seated.

MR. SELLERS: Your Honor, may we approach briefly?

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 2 (An off-the-record bench conference was held.) THE COURT: Let Mr. Jeffrey Middleton come to the 3 podium with his counsel. 4 Mr. Middleton, I'm now going to explain to you the 5 maximum possible penalties applicable in the various counts 6 7 that are under consideration by the Court. Count 1, the conspiracy against rights charge, has a 8 9 maximum sentence of ten years' imprisonment and a \$250,000 10 fine with a term of three years' supervised release and a 11 \$100 special assessment. 12 Counts 2, 3, and 10 provide -- which are all -subtitle is "Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law," 13 14 call for a maximum sentence of imprisonment of ten years, \$250,000 fine and three years' supervised release and a 15 \$100 special assessment, and, again, three separate counts, 16 17 and those maximum penalties apply to each. 18 With regard to Count 11, conspiracy to obstruct justice, the maximum penalty is 20 years' imprisonment, a 19 20 \$250,000 fine, and a term of three years' supervised 2.1 release and a \$100 special assessment. 22 With regard to Count 12, obstruction of justice, the 23 maximum possible penalty is 20 years' imprisonment, a 24 \$250,000 fine and three years' supervised release and a 25 \$100 special assessment.

```
1
           Do you understand the maximum penalties on each of
 2
    these charges?
 3
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
           THE COURT: Do you need any further explanation?
 5
    Are you unclear about any part of it? They're separate
    charges with separate maximums that could be accumulated.
 6
 7
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor, they're all
 8
    clear to me.
 9
           THE COURT: All right. Has anyone threatened,
    harassed, coerced you, or intimidated you in any way to get
10
11
    you to come to court today to plead quilty?
12
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: No, Your Honor.
13
           THE COURT: Are you appearing voluntarily and of
14
    your own free will?
15
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Have you entered into a plea agreement
16
    with the Government that would consist of two documents: a
17
18
    plea agreement and a plea supplement?
19
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
20
           THE COURT: Did you read, understand, and sign both
2.1
    of these agreements and with the assistance of your
22
    counsel?
23
           DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.
24
           THE COURT: Did he explain to you as you might have
25
    needed?
```

DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Tanner, have you been over the plea agreement and supplement with your client, explained them to him as was required or necessary, and have you also signed them?

MR. TANNER: I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me ask counsel for the Government to detail provisions that were in addition to or not common to the announcement made previously on these waivers and so forth.

MS. CHALK: Thank you, Your Honor.

In this case, Defendant Middleton has agreed to plead guilty to Count 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, and 12 as charged in the criminal information charged in this matter. In exchange for the defendant's agreement, the Government will recommend the defendant be sentenced as set forth in the plea supplement that will be filed under seal. The plea agreement and plea supplement have been executed by this defendant, his attorney, and counsel for the Government.

The plea agreement also makes mention of waivers affecting trial and appellate rights that were previously addressed. And at this time, Your Honor, the United States requests the Court have the defendant personally confirm that he understands and agrees with the terms of the plea agreement and supplement, including the waivers as outlined

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

by the Government. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Middleton, you were present when counsel set out some waivers and provisions, and you told me -- along with the rest of the defendants, you told me that you understood what they provided for. I'm referencing that and also what counsel has just now said to the Court, and is all of this consistent and in accordance with your understanding of your plea agreement and supplement? DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You -- the Government makes recommendations in the plea supplement. Do you realize that while I pay attention to them and often follow them, I'm not bound to it? I have to know more about the case in order to make a definite decision about recommendations by the Government. DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, sir. Yes, Your Honor. If you end up getting a different THE COURT:

THE COURT: If you end up getting a different sentence than what you expect, do you realize you won't then be able to withdraw your plea and demand a trial, because you've given that right up in the agreement that you've made with the Court?

DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Nor will you be able to appeal your conviction or sentence.

1 DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Very well, then. Let the plea agreement 3 and supplement be filed with the clerk and admitted into the record. 4 MR. TANNER: May I approach, Your Honor? 5 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 6 7 I'll ask the Government to summarize the Government's case or give the factual basis for the plea. 8 MS. CHALK: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 If the Government were to be put to its burden at 11 trial, we would show in this case, and the defendant and 12 the Government have stipulated to, the following facts: On January 24, 2023, Jeffrey Middleton was employed 13 14 as a lieutenant with the Rankin County Sheriff's Department -- Sheriff's Office. Middleton supervised the 15 16 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. shift, and among the officers he 17 supervised were Deputy Hunter Elward and Deputy Daniel 18 Middleton's shift called themselves "the Goon Opdvke. 19 Squad." Middleton's shift was willing to use excessive 20 force and not to report it. Middleton ordered and 2.1 distributed challenge coins with the unofficial Goon Squad 22 logo on the front, and on the back, it contained the official Rankin County Sheriff's Office logo. 23 24 On January 24th, 2023, Christian Dedmon, a narcotics 25 investigator with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office, sent

a text message to Opdyke, Middleton, and Elward asking them, "Are y'all available for a mission?" Dedmon messaged the group that they were going to a property on Conerly Road and warned them: "There's a chance of cameras. Let's approach east and work easy." Middleton understood "work easy" to mean knock on the door, rather than kick it down. Elward texted back an eye roll emoji, and Opdyke texted a GIF of a baby crying. Dedmon messaged the group, "If we don't see cameras, go." Middleton understood that to mean if they don't see surveillance cameras at the property, then they should enter the property without a warrant.

Dedmon messaged the group, "No bad mugshots."

Middleton understood "No bad mugshots" to be a green light to use excessive force on parts of the body not captured by a mugshot.

Opdyke, Middleton, and Elward drove their Rankin

County Sheriff's Office-issued vehicles to the Cato

Volunteer Fire Department, parked their vehicles, and

waited for Dedmon. When Dedmon's truck drove past them,

Opdyke, Middleton, and Elward pulled out from the Cato

Volunteer Fire Department and followed Dedmon to the

property. When they arrived at the property, they all

parked in the driveway. Chief Investigator Brett McAlpin,

who had been surveilling the property from down the street,

pulled in behind them.

2.1

Middleton exited his vehicle and walked to the carport door, which other officers had kicked down.

Middleton entered the house without consent, a warrant, or exigent circumstances. Middleton knew that it was unlawful to enter a home without consent, a warrant, or exigent circumstances.

Middleton saw two Black men inside: MJ and EP. The men were in handcuffs, and the officers were yelling at them. Dedmon asked EP where they were keeping the drugs. Dedmon pulled out his gun, aimed it out the back door, and fired. Dedmon again demanded to know where the drugs were. Middleton knew that it was unlawful for an officer to attempt to coerce a confession by firing a gun, but Middleton did not intervene.

Middleton and McAlpin went into the back bedroom and discussed another case. During their conversation,
Middleton heard Taser sounds and screams of pain coming from the living room, where his subordinates, Elward and Opdyke, were detaining MJ and EP. Middleton knew that he had a duty to intervene and stop officers from using excessive force on an arrestee. Despite that training, and despite having the time and opportunity to intervene,
Middleton did not intervene.

Middleton went outside to retrieve his flashlight.

And when Middleton came back inside, he observed MJ and EP

2.1

covered in chocolate syrup and other liquids. Shortly thereafter, MJ and EP were ordered to strip naked and shower off. Their handcuffs were removed.

After MJ and EP showered off and changed into clean underwear and sweats, they were handcuffed again and brought to the side bedroom adjacent to the carport.

Middleton grabbed a metal sword and assaulted EP with the sword. The officers began discussing the comparative strength of their respective Tasers, and they decided to test their Tasers on MJ and EP to see which one was the most powerful. Dedmon, Elward, Hartfield, and Middleton each Tased MJ and EP while MJ and EP were handcuffed and not resisting. Middleton knew that it was unlawful to Tase a handcuffed and compliant arrestee, and that he had a duty to intervene. Despite having the opportunity to intervene, Middleton did not do so.

Middleton and McAlpin left the side bedroom and walked to the front bedroom to steal personal property that had caught their attention during an earlier search of the house. McAlpin and Middleton each stole rubber bar mats, brought them out to their vehicles, and then came back inside the back bedroom. Middleton knew that it was unlawful to steal personal property during a search or arrest.

Middleton and McAlpin heard two gunshots coming from

2.1

the direction of the side bedroom. Middleton walked into the hallway, and Elward told him that he had messed up. Middleton walked into the side bedroom and observed MJ handcuffed and bleeding from the mouth and neck. Middleton understood that he and other officers had a duty to render medical aid to MJ. Middleton did not provide medical aid, and Middleton did not observe any other officer providing medical aid.

All six officers huddled up on the back screened-in porch to discuss what to do. They devised and agreed on a false cover story: that Elward brought MJ into the side bedroom to conduct a controlled drug buy over the phone; that Elward had removed MJ's handcuffs; and that MJ had reached for a gun; and that Elward had shot MJ in self-defense.

Middleton offered to plant a "throw-down" gun on MJ.

Middleton kept in his patrol car a Titan Tiger .38 Special snub-nosed revolver that was not registered to him in case a gun needed to be planted on a victim. Elward responded that he would use the BB gun they had found in the house.

Dedmon said that he would take care of the drugs.

Middleton understood those comments to mean that Elward would plant the gun on MJ, and Dedmon would plant drugs on MJ. Middleton knew that it was unlawful to plant evidence on a suspect, but he did not intervene.

2.1

When Middleton returned to the side bedroom, MJ was no longer handcuffed, and the BB gun that Hartfield had previously seen in the front middle bedroom was planted next to MJ.

In order to limit the number of witnesses to the shooting, the officers agreed to tell investigators that at the time of the shooting, McAlpin and Middleton left the property and were driving home; Dedmon and Hartfield were at Dedmon's truck; and Opdyke was escorting EP to a patrol car.

In order to corroborate his false story, McAlpin told Dedmon that he was leaving and to call him in a few minutes. McAlpin drove off in his Rankin County Sheriff's Office-issued vehicle, which was enabled with GPS tracking. Dedmon called him, and then McAlpin returned to the scene.

Several officers began looking for the shell casings fired from Dedmon's gun. Middleton and the other officers looked but could not find the shell casing from Dedmon's second shooting.

McAlpin and Middleton each threatened the other officers and told them that if any of them told the truth about what happened that night, McAlpin and Middleton would find out and kill them.

Middleton wrote and submitted a false report, knowing at the time that it was false, for the purpose of

covering up their misconduct.

2.1

Middleton was interviewed by investigators with the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation. Middleton lied to the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation investigators and withheld material information for the purpose of covering up their misconduct. Middleton knew that as a law enforcement officer, it was unlawful to write a false report and give a false statement to investigators.

All of this occurring within Rankin County, in the Northern Division of the Southern District of Mississippi and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

THE COURT: Counsel referred to the presentation as a stipulation. Mr. Middleton, is what she said just now to the Court true and correct?

DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you dispute or disagree with anything she said about this account?

DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you, in fact, guilty of the crimes charged in the various counts of the indictment that I have explained to you?

Let me get the numbers of them again. Count 1, conspiracy against rights; Counts 2, 3, and 10, deprivation of rights; Count 11, conspiracy to obstruct justice; and Count 12, obstruction of justice: Are you guilty of all

those?

2.1

DEFENDANT MIDDLETON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Since you acknowledge that you are, in fact, guilty as charged in the information as to all counts, since you understand your right to a trial and what the maximum possible punishment is, and since you're voluntarily pleading guilty, I'm accepting your guilty plea and will enter a judgment of guilty in your case.

There will be a presentence investigation conducted by the probation office. The probation officer will be talking to you, interviewing you about the case and with your lawyer having the right and opportunity to be present.

Once the investigation is concluded, a written report will be prepared and submitted. You and your lawyer will have access to that report to inspect it. If you should contend that there are errors in the report, either as to proposed factual findings or guideline sentence application, Mr. Tanner can file objections. And if any are unresolved on the day of sentencing, I will make a decision as to any dispute after first giving you a chance to present anything that you think supports your position in support of such issues.

I'm going to set a sentencing disposition in a few minutes as soon as I've finished with the other defendants to determine a time to impose sentencing. So that

concludes this hearing.

Does the Government or defendant have anything to say further about this?

MS. CHALK: Your Honor, we would move that the defendant remain detained pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3143.

THE COURT: All right. That motion is granted.

The next case -- or the next defendant is -- let's see. Christian Lee Dedmon, with your attorney, Mr. Cory, with you.

Mr. Dedmon, before I go any further, I want to explain to you the maximum possible punishment upon conviction of these various counts with which you are charged.

Counts 1 and 13, conspiracy against rights, carries a maximum sentence of ten years for each, ten years on 1 and ten years on 13, and a fine on each of \$250,000 maximum, and a term of supervised release -- maximum term of three years with a \$100 special assessment.

With reference to Counts 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 10, subtitled "Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law," the maximum punishment on each of these is ten years' imprisonment, \$250,000 fine, a term of three years' supervised release, and a \$100 special assessment. And, again, each -- that's the maximum for each of these. Do

```
1
    you understand that?
 2
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
           THE COURT: Has anyone coerced, threatened, or
 3
    attempted to threaten you or in any way prevail on you to
 4
 5
    come to court today to plead guilty?
           DEFENDANT DEDMON:
                               No, sir.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: Are you appearing voluntarily and of
    your own free will?
 8
 9
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
           THE COURT: Have you entered into a plea agreement
10
11
    with supplement with the Government?
12
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
           THE COURT: Two documents?
13
14
           DEFENDANT DEDMON:
                               Yes, sir.
15
           THE COURT: Did you read and understand or at least
    have explained to you by your lawyer such that you had a
16
17
    complete understanding of these documents?
18
           DEFENDANT DEDMON:
                               Yes, sir.
19
           THE COURT: And did you then sign them both?
20
           DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
2.1
           THE COURT: Mr. Cory, did you go over the plea
22
    agreement and supplement with your client, explain them to
23
    you as necessary, and then execute them yourselves?
2.4
           MR. CORY: Yes, Your Honor.
25
           THE COURT: I'll ask counsel for the Government to
```

express any basic terms of the plea agreement that have not already been detailed.

MS. CHALK: Thank you, Your Honor.

Defendant Dedmon has agreed to plead guilty to

Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, and 13 as charged
in the criminal information in the matter before the Court,
and in exchange for the defendant's agreement, the

Government will recommend that the defendant be sentenced
as set forth in the plea supplement that is filed under
seal. The plea agreement and plea supplement have been
executed by the defendant, his attorney, and counsel for
the Government. The plea agreement includes waivers of
trial and appellate rights previously described earlier
today.

At this time, Your Honor, the United States requests the Court have the defendant personally confirm that he understands and agrees with the terms of the plea agreement and supplement, including the waivers as outlined by the Government.

THE COURT: Counsel earlier set forth to the Court with all of you present various provisions, primarily waivers, that were -- that are in the proposed plea agreement. I inquired of you and the others if you understood what they are, and you confirm to me now that you continue to understand them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
Are you -- having executed the agreement, do you
agree with all the provisions and the waivers that she's
set forth?
      DEFENDANT DEDMON:
                         Yes, sir.
      THE COURT:
                  She referenced a recommendation by the
Government.
            The Government makes recommendations, which
the Court often follows, but I'm not bound to do so.
have to develop a firm opinion after knowing a little about
the case. But do you understand that when I give you your
sentence, if it's something you don't like, you won't then
be able to withdraw your quilty plea and expect to have a
trial?
      DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.
      THE COURT: Nor will you be able to appeal your
conviction or sentence, because you've given those rights
up in the agreements that you've made with the Court.
      DEFENDANT DEDMON:
                         Yes, sir.
                 Very well, then. Let the plea agreement
      THE COURT:
with supplement be filed with the court and made a part of
the record in this case, the supplement to be under seal.
      MR. CORY: May I approach, Your Honor?
      THE COURT: Yes. Let counsel for the Government
detail the factual basis for the plea.
      MS. CHALK: Thank you, Your Honor.
      The Government, when put to its burden at trial in
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this case, we would show, as well as the defendant and Government having stipulated to the following in paragraph 8 of the plea supplement: That on January 24th, 2023, Christian Dedmon was employed as a narcotics investigator with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office. That night, Dedmon was cooking at his neighbor's house with his neighbor and Joshua Hartfield, an officer with the Richland Police Department. Dedmon received a call from Brett McAlpin, the chief investigator for the Rankin County Sheriff's Department. McAlpin instructed Dedmon to get some guys together, go to 135 Conerly Road, in Braxton, Mississippi, and lock up anyone there. Dedmon invited Hartfield to ride along with him in Dedmon's Rankin County Sheriff's Office-issued truck. Dedmon sent text message to three colleagues with the sheriff's department: Lieutenant Jeffrey Middleton, Deputy Hunter Elward, and Deputy Daniel Opdyke. That text

the sheriff's department: Lieutenant Jeffrey Middleton,
Deputy Hunter Elward, and Deputy Daniel Opdyke. That text
read, "Are y'all available for a mission?" Dedmon messaged
the group that they were going to the property on Conerly
Road and warned them, "There is a chance of cameras...
let's approach east and work easy." Dedmon meant that if
they encountered cameras at 135 Conerly Road, they should
knock on the door, rather than kick it down.

Elward texted back an eyeroll emoji, and Opdyke texted back a GIF of a baby crying. Dedmon messaged the

group, "If we don't see cameras, go." Dedmon meant that if they did not see surveillance cameras at the property, then they should enter the property without a warrant. Dedmon messaged the group, "No bad mugshots." Dedmon meant "No bad mugshots" to be a green light to use excessive force on parts of the body not captured by a mugshot.

As Dedmon and Hartfield were driving to the property, they passed Middleton, Elward, and Opdyke, who were parked at the Cato Volunteer Fire Department. Opdyke, Middleton, and Elward pulled out from the fire department and followed Dedmon and Hartfield to the property. When they arrived at that property, they all parked in the driveway. Chief Investigator Brett McAlpin, who had been surveilling the property from down the street, pulled in behind them.

Noticing a surveillance camera above the front door of the property, Dedmon, Elward, and Opdyke walked around to the carport door, which had no surveillance camera covering it. Dedmon and Opdyke each kicked the carport door, but it did not open. Elward kicked the carport door and it swung open. Opdyke, Dedmon, and Elward entered the home without consent, a warrant, or exigent circumstances. Dedmon knew that it was unlawful to enter a home without consent, a warrant, or exigent circumstances.

Dedmon saw two Black males inside: MJ and EP.

2.1

Officers issued them commands, and they complied. Dedmon handcuffed MJ and Tased him a couple of times. Elward handcuffed EP and Tased him a couple of times. There was no probable cause to believe that MJ or EP had committed any crimes, and there was no reason to Tase them.

Dedmon asked EP where they were keeping the drugs.

Dedmon pulled out his gun, aimed it at the back door, and fired. Dedmon again demanded to know where the drugs were.

Dedmon knew that it was unlawful for an officer to attempt to coerce a confession by firing a gun.

The defendants moved MJ and EP, who were still handcuffed, to the living room, taunted them, accused them of taking advantage of the white woman who owned the house, and warned them to stay out of Rankin County and go back to Jackson or to "their side" of the Pearl River, areas with higher concentrations of Black residents. Dedmon drive-stunned MJ with his Taser repeatedly, and Dedmon knew that it was unlawful to Tase a handcuffed and compliant arrestee.

Opdyke left the living room and returned with a dildo mounted onto the end of a BB gun. Opdyke forced the dildo in the mouth of EP, and attempted to force the dildo in the mouth of MJ. Dedmon grabbed the dildo from Opdyke and slapped EP and MJ in the face with it. Dedmon knew it was unlawful to assault an arrestee with a dildo, and that

2.1

he had a duty to intervene to stop another officer from doing so. Despite having the time and opportunity to intervene, Dedmon did not intervene.

Dedmon forced EP and MJ onto their backs on the floor of the living room. Elward held them down. Dedmon poured milk, alcohol, and chocolate syrup on their faces and into their mouths.

MJ and EP were ordered to strip naked and shower off. Their handcuffs were removed. After MJ and EP showered off and changed into clean underwear and sweats, they were handcuffed again and brought to the side bedroom adjacent to the carport. The officers then began discussing comparative strength of their respective Tasers, and they decided to test their Tasers on MJ and EP to see which one was most powerful. Dedmon, Elward, Hartfield, and Middleton each Tased MJ and EP while MJ and EP were handcuffed and not resisting. Dedmon knew that he had a duty to intervene if he observed officers using excessive force on an arrestee. Despite having the time and opportunity to intervene, Dedmon did not intervene.

Dedmon stepped from the side bedroom into the adjoining carport, pulled out his gun, and fired into the yard. Shortly thereafter, Dedmon heard a loud noise coming from the side bedroom. Dedmon stepped back into the side bedroom and observed Elward, Opdyke, MJ, and EP in the

2.1

room. MJ, who was still in handcuffs, had been shot and was bleeding.

All six officers huddled up on the back screened-in porch to discuss what to do. They devised and agreed on a false cover story: that Elward brought MJ into the side bedroom to conduct a controlled drug buy over the phone; that Elward had removed MJ's handcuffs; and that MJ had reached for a gun; and that Elward shot MJ in self-defense.

Elward said that he would take care of the gun.

Dedmon understood Elward's comment to mean that Elward

would plant a gun on MJ in order to corroborate the false

cover story. Dedmon knew that it was unlawful to plant a

gun on an arrestee, but did not intervene.

In order to cover up their entry into the property without a warrant, the defendants agreed to tell investigators that when defendants arrived at the house, they observed a Black male in the driveway; that Dedmon obtained the man's consent to search his pockets and found two baggies of methamphetamine; and that man fled into the house and Dedmon ran after him.

In order to corroborate that false cover story,

Dedmon retrieved some methamphetamine he had previously

obtained from a subject-turned-informant but had not

entered into evidence, divided it into two baggies, and

later submitted them to the crime lab as belonging to MJ.

Dedmon knew that it was unlawful to plant drugs on an arrestee.

In order to limit the number of witnesses to the shooting, the officers agreed to tell investigators that at the time of the shooting, McAlpin and Middleton had left the property and were driving home. Dedmon and Hartfield were at Dedmon's truck, and Opdyke was escorting EP to a patrol car.

McAlpin left the scene to corroborate his cover story that he had not been present during the shooting.

McAlpin told Dedmon that he was leaving and instructed Dedmon to call him in a few minutes. McAlpin drove away, Dedmon called him, and McAlpin returned to the scene.

Dedmon picked up spent Taser cartridges and got rid of them. Dedmon searched for all the shell casings from the two times he fired his gun. Opdyke told Dedmon that Opdyke had picked up the first shell casing. Dedmon looked in the carport and the side bedroom for his second shell casing but could not find it.

While Dedmon and other officers were attempting to cover up their misconduct, MJ was bleeding in the side bedroom. Dedmon understood that he and the other officers had a duty to render medical aid to MJ. Dedmon did not provide medical aid, and Dedmon did not observe any other officer providing medical aid.

2.1

Dedmon wrote and submitted a false report, knowing at the time it was false, for the purpose of covering up their misconduct. Dedmon was interviewed by investigators with the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation, and Dedmon lied to those investigators and withheld material information for the purpose of covering up their misconduct.

McAlpin, Dedmon, and Elward agreed to file false charges on MJ in order to corroborate the false cover story. On January 25th, 2023, Dedmon signed a sworn affidavit that MJ had committed felony possession of methamphetamine, in violation of Mississippi Code Section 41-29-139(c). Upon the filing of Dedmon's affidavit, MJ was charged with felony possession of methamphetamine. The maximum punishment for that offense is eight years in prison.

Dedmon also signed a sworn affidavit that MJ committed misdemeanor disorderly conduct, in violation of Mississippi Code Section 97-35-7, by "refusing to follow verbal commands and to stop running after locating a felony amount of methamphetamine on his person." Upon the filing of Dedmon's affidavit, MJ was charged with misdemeanor disorderly conduct. The maximum punishment for that offense is one year in prison.

Dedmon knew that as a law enforcement officer, it

2.1

was unlawful to write a false report, to give a false statement to investigators, to charge a person with crimes they did not commit, and to include false and misleading information in a sworn charging affidavit. All of this conduct occurring in Rankin County, in the Northern Division of the Southern District of Mississippi within the jurisdiction of this Court.

THE COURT: Ms. Chalk referenced a stipulation from

THE COURT: Ms. Chalk referenced a stipulation from which she read. The stipulation being -- what she said, all of which was agreed to by you. Is what she represented to the Court all true and correct?

DEFENDANT DEDMON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Is there anything that was -- from your perspective that was untrue or that you dispute?

DEFENDANT DEDMON: No, sir.

DEFENDANT DEDMON:

THE COURT: Are you, in fact, guilty of the crimes charged in Counts 1, 2, 3, 4 -- I want to refer to them a little differently. Counts 1 and 13 subtitled "Conspiracy Against Rights"; Counts 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 10 referenced as "Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law"; Count 5, use and carry and brandish and discharge of a firearm during a crime of violence; Count 11, conspiracy to obstruct justice; and Count 12, obstruction of justice. Are you, in fact, guilty of every one of those criminal offenses?

Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Since you've acknowledged that you're, in fact, guilty as charged in the information in all respects, since you know your right to a trial and what the maximum possible punishment is, and since you are voluntarily pleading guilty, I'm accepting your guilty plea to all counts and will enter a judgment of guilty in your case.

A presentence investigation will be initiated and conducted by the probation office. The probation officer will be interviewing you with your counsel present in connection with that investigation as to the facts of this case and information about yourself, and once the investigation has been completed, the probation officer will prepare and submit a written presentence investigation report. You and your attorney, Mr. Cory, will have the opportunity to inspect that report. And if you should take the position that there are errors in it, such as proposed findings of fact and guideline sentence application, then you can take that up with your -- or conclusions of law, you can take that up -- your attorney can take that up with the probation officer and file written objections.

If there are any outstanding objections or disputes on the day of sentencing before I impose sentence, you will have the chance to present anything that you think supports your position, and I'll resolve it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
Is there anything further from the Government or
defendant?
            I've already said that I'm going to set the
sentencing disposition at the end of this hearing.
      MS. CHALK: Your Honor, for the record, we would
move the defendant be detained pursuant to Title 18 --
       THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
      MS. CHALK: -- United States Code, Section 3143.
       THE COURT: I understand the magistrate judge this
morning entered an oral order of detention, and I'm
acknowledging that, and that is the status of the cases.
These defendants are detained. You can be seated.
       The next defendant whose case will be considered is
Hunter Thomas Elward. Mr. Elward and his attorney come
back to the podium.
      Mr. Elward, I previously explained the charges that
are filed against you, and now I'm going to explain the
maximum possible penalties applicable to these charges.
       Counts 1 and 13, conspiracy against rights, in those
the maximum punishment is ten years' imprisonment and a
fine of $250,000 with a term of supervised release of three
years, and then there's a $100 special assessment.
       Then with regard to Counts 2 -- and I said 1 and 13.
That's applicable for each count, the ten years'
imprisonment for each.
       Then with regard to Counts 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 10,
```

2.1

deprivation of rights under color of law, the maximum term of imprisonment is ten years' imprisonment, and that's for each one of those counts, and a \$250,000 fine with a term of supervised release of three years maximum and a \$100 special assessment.

And with regard to Count 9, using and carrying and brandishing and discharging a firearm during a crime of violence, the maximum possible penalty is -- the minimum imprisonment is ten years, not more than life, to run consecutive, as it's stated in this information I have, as well as a \$250,000 fine.

And on Count 11, conspiracy to obstruct justice, the maximum punishment is 20 years' imprisonment and a fine of \$250,000 along with a term of supervised release of three years and a \$100 special assessment.

Then on Count 12, obstruction of justice, the maximum term of imprisonment is 20 years' imprisonment and a \$250,000 fine and a term of three years' supervised release, and then there's the \$100 special assessment.

After that explanation, I'll ask you whether you understand the maximum term of imprisonment for each one of these counts?

DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I moved through them pretty fast. If you end up not clear about anything, let me know, but

```
you're telling me you understand all of that?
 1
 2
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Has anybody threatened, coerced, or
 3
 4
    harassed you in any way to prevail on you to come to court
 5
    today to plead guilty?
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: No, Your Honor.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: Are you appearing voluntarily of your
    own free will?
 8
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
10
           THE COURT: Have you entered into a plea agreement
11
    with the Government that would consist of two documents: a
12
    plea agreement and plea supplement?
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
13
14
           THE COURT: Did you read, understand, and sign both
    of them?
15
16
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
17
           THE COURT: Did Mr. Hollomon confer with you about
18
    it and explain them to you as was necessary for you to have
    a complete understanding?
19
20
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
2.1
           THE COURT: Mr. Hollomon, did you go over the plea
22
    agreement and supplement with your client, explaining them
23
    to him as necessary, and then also execute them?
2.4
           MR. HOLLOMON: I did, Your Honor.
25
           THE COURT: Let counsel for the Government state the
```

2.1

fundamental terms of the plea agreement, those that were not in common with those previously referenced.

MS. CHALK: Yes, Your Honor. Defendant Elward has agreed to plead guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 as charged in the criminal information that is before the Court. In exchange for the defendant's agreement, the Government will recommend that this defendant be sentenced as set forth in the plea supplement that is filed under seal. The plea agreement and plea supplement have been executed by the defendant, his attorney, and counsel for the Government.

The plea agreement also makes reference to waivers of trial and appellate rights that were previously addressed earlier today in this hearing, and at this time, Your Honor, the United States requests the Court have the defendant personally confirm that he understands and agrees with the terms of the plea agreement, plea supplement, including the waivers as outlined by the Government.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Chalk has previously described a number of waivers and provisions, and do you confirm that you understood them when you told me earlier that you did understand them this morning?

DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And she has additionally explained other terms of the plea agreement. Is her explanation of all of

```
this consistent with your understanding of your plea
 1
 2
    agreement?
 3
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: And do you specifically tell the Court
 4
 5
    that you agree to and -- all of these agreements and
 6
    waivers that are set out?
 7
           DEFENDANT ELWARD:
                              I do.
           THE COURT: There is a recommendation that is in the
 8
 9
    plea supplement by the Government. The Court pays
    attention to recommendations and often follows them, but
10
11
    I'm not bound to. Do you understand that after I give you
12
    your sentence, that you won't be able to withdraw your plea
13
    and expect to have a trial?
14
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
15
           THE COURT: Nor will you be able to appeal your
    conviction or sentence, because you've given that -- those
16
17
    rights up along with the others in the plea agreement that
18
    you made with the Government.
19
           DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
20
           THE COURT: Very well, then. Let the plea agreement
2.1
    and supplement be filed with the clerk --
22
           MR. HOLLOMON: May I approach, Your Honor?
23
           THE COURT: Mr. Hollomon, did I verify with you that
24
    you had gone over the plea agreement with your client?
25
           MR. HOLLOMON: Judge, I don't remember that, but
```

```
1
    I'll state that --
 2
           THE COURT: Sir?
           MR. HOLLOMON: Judge, I don't recall that, but I'll
 3
    state it on the record here.
 4
 5
           THE COURT: Okay. I take the position I always do,
    but I want to confirm now -- it just sort of occurred to
 6
7
    me -- you talked to your client, went over both agreements
    with him, and explaining to him and also executing them; is
 8
 9
    that right?
10
           MR. HOLLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.
11
           THE COURT: Okay. Let the plea agreement and the
12
    plea supplement be filed with the clerk and made a part of
    the record in this case.
13
14
           Ms. Chalk, will you summarize the Government's case
    or give the factual basis for the anticipated plea.
15
16
           MS. CHALK: Yes, Your Honor. In this case defendant
17
    Elward has agreed to plead guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3, 6, 7,
18
    8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13.
           The defendant has also agreed to the following facts
19
20
    that we would prove if we were put to our burden at trial
2.1
    in the form of a stipulation that's contained in the plea
22
    supplement.
           On January 24th, 2023, Hunter Elward was employed as
23
24
    a patrol deputy with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office.
25
    Elward worked the 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. shift along with
```

2.1

Lieutenant Jeffrey Middleton and Deputy Daniel Opdyke.

Their shift called themselves the Goon Squad because of their willingness to use excessive force and not to report it. Middleton provided Elward a challenge coin with the unofficial Goon Squad logo on the front and the official Rankin County Sheriff's Office logo on the back.

On January 24th, 2023, Christian Dedmon, a narcotics investigator with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office, sent a text message to Opdyke, Middleton, and Elward asking them: Are you all available for a mission? Dedmon messaged the group that they were going to the property on Conerly Road and warned them: There's a chance of cameras; let's approach east and work easy. Elward understood "work easy" to mean knock on the door rather than kick it down. Elward texted back an eye role emoji, and Opdyke texted a GIF of a baby crying.

Dedmon messaged the group: If we don't see cameras, go. Elward understand that to mean if they do not see surveillance cameras at the property, then they should enter the property without a warrant.

Dedmon messaged the group: No bad mugshots. Elward understood "no bad mugshots" to be a green light to use excessive force on parts of the body not captured by a mugshot.

Opdyke, Middleton, and Elward each drove to the Cato

2.1

Volunteer Fire Department, parked their vehicles, and waited for Dedmon. When Dedmon's truck drove past them, Opdyke, Middleton, and Elward pulled out from the Cato Volunteer Fire Department and followed Dedmon to the property.

When they arrived at the property, they all parked in the driveway. Chief investigator Brett McAlpin, who had been surveilling the property from down the street, pulled in behind them.

Noticing a surveillance camera above the front door of the property, Dedmon, Elward, and Opdyke walked around to the carport door, which had no surveillance camera covering it. Dedmon and Opdyke each kicked in the carport door, but it did not open. Elward kicked in the carport door, and it swung open. Opdyke, Dedmon, and Elward entered the home without consent, a warrant, or exigent circumstances, and Elward knew it was unlawful to enter a home without consent, a warrant, or exigent circumstances.

Elward saw two Black men inside: MJ and EP.

Officers issued them commands, and they complied. Dedmon handcuffed MJ and Tased him multiple times. Elward handcuffed EP and Tased him multiple times. Opdyke kicked EP in the ribs. There was no probable cause to believe that MJ or EP had committed any of the crimes, and there was no reason to kick or Tase them.

2.1

Dedmon asked EP where are they keeping the drugs?

Dedmon pulled out his gun, aimed it at the back door, and fired. Dedmon again demanded to know where the drugs were. Elward knew that it was unlawful for an officer to attempt to coerce a confession by firing a gun, but Elward did not intervene.

The defendants moved MJ and EP, who were still handcuffed, to the living room, taunted them, accused them of taking advantage of a white woman who owned the house, and warned them to stay out of Rankin County and go back to Jackson or to their side of the Pearl River, areas with higher concentration of Black residents.

Opdyke left the living room and returned with a dildo mounted on the end of a BB gun. Dedmon grabbed the dildo from Opdyke and slapped EP and MJ in the face with it. Dedmon forced MJ and EP onto their knees with their backs to Dedmon, and Dedmon threatened to anally rape MJ and EP with a dildo. Dedmon grabbed the back of MJ's pants and moved the dildo towards MJ's backside, but Dedmon stopped when he noticed that MJ had defecated on himself. Elward knew that it was unlawful to sexually assault an arrestee and that he had a duty to intervene and stop it. Despite having the time and opportunity to intervene,

Dedmon forced MJ and EP onto their backs on the

2.1

floor of the living room. Elward held them down, and Dedmon poured milk, alcohol, and chocolate syrup on their faces and into their mouths. Elward grabbed a carton of eggs from the kitchen and threw the eggs at MJ and EP. MJ and EP were ordered to strip naked and shower off. Their handcuffs were removed.

After MJ and EP showered off and changed into clean underwear and sweats, they were handcuffed again and brought to the side bedroom adjacent to the carport. The officers began discussing the comparative strengths of their respective Tasers, and they decided to test their Tasers on MJ and EP to see which one was the most powerful. Dedmon, Elward, Hartfield, and Middleton each Tased MJ and EP while MJ and EP were handcuffed and not resisting. Elward knew that it was unlawful to Tase a handcuffed and compliant arrestee. Elward also knew that he had a duty to intervene if he observed officers using excessive force on an arrestee. Despite having the time and opportunity to intervene, Elward did not intervene.

Elward surreptitiously removed a bullet from the chamber of his gun. Elward forced his gun into MJ's mouth and pulled the trigger. The unloaded gun clipped but did not discharge. Elward racked the slide, forced the gun back into MJ's mouth, and pulled the trigger again. This time the gun discharged, and MJ collapsed on the floor.

2.1

All six officers huddled up on the back screened-in porch to discuss what to do. They devised and agreed on a false cover story: that Elward brought MJ to the side bedroom to conduct a controlled drug buy over the phone, that Elward had removed MJ's handcuffs, and that MJ had reached for a gun and that Elward shot MJ in self-defense.

Elward said that he would take care of the gun.

Elward went back inside, grabbed the BB gun, and brought it to the side bedroom, where MJ was still bleeding from the mouth and neck and was not receiving any medical attention.

Elward removed MJ's handcuffs and planted the BB gun next to MJ. Dedmon said that he would take care of the drugs.

Elward understood Dedmon's comment to mean that Dedmon would plant drugs on MJ. Elward knew that it was unlawful to plant evidence on a suspect, but he did not intervene.

In order to limit the number of witnesses to the shooting, the officers agreed to tell investigators that at the time of the shooting McAlpin and Middleton had left the property and were driving home, Dedmon and Hartfield were at Dedmon's truck, and Opdyke was escorting EP to the patrol car.

While Elward and the other officers were attempting to cover up their misconduct, MJ was bleeding in the side bedroom. Elward understood that he and the other officers had a duty to render medical aid to MJ. Elward did not

2.1

provide medical aid, and Elward did not observe any other officer providing medical aid.

Elward wrote a report and submitted -- wrote and submitted a false report, knowing at the time that it was false, for the purpose of covering up their misconduct.

Elward was interviewed by the investigators with the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation. Elward lied to the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation investigators and withheld material information for the purpose of covering up their misconduct.

McAlpin, Dedmon, and Elward agreed to file false charges on MJ in order to corroborate their false cover story.

On January 25th, 2023, Elward signed a sworn affidavit that MJ committed felony aggravated assault on a police officer, in violation of Mississippi Code Section 97-3-7(2) under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life by obtaining a firearm and then aiming and pointing it in the direction of Deputy Elward.

Upon the filing of Elward's affidavit, MJ was charged with felony aggravated assault on a police officer. The maximum punishment of that offense is 30 years in prison. Elward knew that as a law enforcement officer it was unlawful to write a false report, to give a false

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

statement to investigators, to charge a person with crimes he did not commit, and to include false and misleading information in a sworn charging affidavit. Your Honor, all of this conduct occurring in Rankin County, in the Northern Division of the Southern District of Mississippi within the jurisdiction of this Court. THE COURT: Mr. Elward, Ms. Chalk referenced a stipulation to which you'd agreed. Is everything that she read in that stipulation as the factual basis for the plea true and accurate? DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is there anything that you take issue with, anything that you dispute? DEFENDANT ELWARD: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Since you admit that you are guilty as charged, the Court finds that you are guilty of every one of these counts that are alleged in the information that have been referenced and will enter a judgment of guilty in this case. A presentence investigation will be initiated and conducted by the probation officer. The probation office will interview you and with your counsel present about

this, case your commission of these offenses, and background information about you.

Once the investigation has been completed, a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
presentence investigation report will be prepared and
submitted that you and Mr. Hollomon will have the
opportunity to inspect. If you contend that there are
errors in the presentence report, both as to matters of
fact or as to guideline sentence application, then you
can -- or any errors of law, then Mr. Hollomon can take
that up with the probation officer and file written
objections. If there are any unresolved objections that
continue on the day of sentencing, I will resolve them
after first giving you a chance to present anything that
you think supports your position.
      Is there anything further from the Government or the
defendant?
      MS. CHALK: Your Honor, I may have missed it, but
would you mind just confirming for the record that the
defendant is in fact pleading guilty to all of the counts
charged in the information?
      THE COURT: I went over each one of them with him,
the maximum penalty. And I am now having you confirm, if
it wasn't clear, that you're pleading guilty to every one
of the counts that charge you in the information?
      DEFENDANT ELWARD: Yes, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: All right. Okay.
      MR. HOLLOMON: Judge, there's nothing else from the
defendant.
```

```
THE COURT: Do you have anything further?
 1
 2
           MS. CHALK: We just move under 18 U.S.C. 3143 that
 3
    the defendant remain in custody, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: The motion is granted.
 4
 5
           MR. HOLLOMON:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke, I now am going to -- after
 6
7
    explaining the various charges against you, I'm now
    explaining to you the maximum possible penalty upon -- for
 8
    each one of these counts in which you're charged and which
    I understand you plan to plead guilty.
10
11
           Count 1 carries a mandatory -- not a mandatory -- a
12
    maximum sentence of ten years' imprisonment and a $250,000
    fine with a term of three years' supervised release and a
13
14
    $100 special assessment. Do you understand that?
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
15
           THE COURT: Counts 2, 3, 6, 7, and 10 each charge a
16
17
    deprivation of rights under color of law. There are five
18
    of these counts, and each one has a maximum penalty -- a
    maximum penalty of ten years' imprisonment -- now, that's
19
20
    ten for each -- a $250,000 fine, three years' supervised
2.1
    release, and $100 special assessment. Do you understand
    that?
22
23
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
24
           THE COURT: Count 11, conspiracy to obstruct
25
    justice, provides for a maximum sentence of 20 years'
```

```
imprisonment, a fine of $250,000, and a three-year term of
 1
 2
    supervised release and a $100 special assessment.
           And then Count 12, obstruction of justice, has a
 3
    maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment, $250,000 fine,
 4
 5
    a three-year term of supervised release, and a $100 special
    assessment.
 6
 7
           I've given the maximum for each one of these counts.
    Do you understand that, or do you need any further
 8
    explanation from me about it?
10
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: No. I understand, Your Honor.
11
           THE COURT: Has anyone threatened, coerced,
12
    harassed, or intimidated you in any way to prevail on you
    to come to court today to plead guilty?
13
14
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: No, sir, Your Honor.
15
           THE COURT: Are you appearing voluntarily and of
16
    your own free will?
17
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, sir.
18
                      Have you entered into a plea agreement
           THE COURT:
19
    that would consist of two instruments, a plea agreement and
20
    a supplement?
2.1
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
22
           THE COURT: Did you read, understand, and confer
23
    with your lawyer before you signed it, and then sign both
    of them?
24
25
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
THE COURT: As counsel for your client, sir, have
you been over the plea agreement and supplement, explained
them to him as necessary, and then also executed them?
      MR. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, between me and my
partner, Jason Kirschberg, who's here with me this
afternoon, yes. We've gone over it line by line.
      THE COURT: You and Mr. Kirschberg together have
done that?
      MR. REYNOLDS: Well, I've done it some and
Mr. Kirschberg has done it some, but he's been fully
apprised.
      THE COURT: Would you just confirm, sir, that you
have participated in the -- did I hear he's here with you
this afternoon? Just confirm that you have helped --
      MR. KIRSCHBERG: Yes, sir, I have.
      THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Have one or both
of you signed the plea agreement?
      MR. REYNOLDS: Yes, Your Honor. We both have.
      THE COURT: The plea agreement and supplement.
      All right. Let counsel for the Government set forth
the factual basis for the plea.
      MS. CHALK: Your Honor, at this time the Defendant
Opdyke has agreed to plead guilty to Count 1, 2, 3, 6, 7,
10, 11, and 12 as charged in the criminal information
before the Court. In exchange for the defendant's
```

agreement, the Government will recommend the defendant be sentenced as set forth in the plea supplement that is filed under seal. The plea agreement and plea supplement have been executed by the defendant, his attorney, and counsel for the Government. The plea agreement also includes waivers of trial and appellate rights that were previously discussed earlier in this hearing.

And at this time, Your Honor, the United States requests the Court have the defendant personally confirm that he understands and agrees with the terms of the plea agreement and plea supplement, including the waivers as outlined by the Government.

THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke, earlier today Ms. Chalk represented various -- there were various waivers and provisions of the plea agreement, which had not been entered into or accepted by the Court at that time, but you said then that you understood them all. Do you confirm that?

DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then you've heard what she's additionally stated to the Court, so I ask you now if her representation as to the terms of -- all the terms of the plea agreement is consistent and in accordance with your understanding of your plea agreement and supplement, and whether you specifically agree to all of these provisions

```
and waivers?
 1
 2
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: In the plea supplement, there's a
 3
    recommendation that's set forth by the Government that's
 4
 5
    been referred to previously. And I often follow
    recommendations, but the plea agreement also says that I'm
 6
 7
    not bound by it. Do you understand that?
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
 9
           THE COURT: If I should impose a sentence that you
    don't like, do you realize -- or different from what you
10
11
    anticipate from the agreement, do you realize that you
12
    won't then be able to withdraw your guilty plea and demand
    a trial?
13
14
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
15
           THE COURT: Nor will you be able to appeal your
    conviction or sentence, because you've given that up in the
16
17
    plea agreement that you made.
18
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Very well, then. Let the plea agreement
19
20
    and supplement be filed with the clerk and made a part of
2.1
    the record in this case, the supplement to be filed under
22
    seal.
23
           MR. REYNOLDS: Yes, sir.
24
           THE COURT: Let the Government state the factual
25
    basis for the plea.
```

MS. CHALK: Thank you, Your Honor.

The Government, if put to its burden at trial, we would show in this case, and that the defendant and the Government have stipulated to the facts contained in paragraph 8 of the plea supplement: That on January 24th, 2023, Daniel Opdyke was employed as a patrol deputy with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office.

Opdyke worked the 11:00 a.m. to the 11:00 p.m. shift along with Lieutenant Jeffrey Middleton and Deputy Hunter Elward. Their shift called themselves the "Goon Squad" because of their willingness to use excessive force and not to report it. Middleton provided Opdyke with a challenge coin with the unofficial Goon Squad logo on one side and the official Rankin County Sheriff's Office logo on the back.

On January 24th, 2023, Christian Dedmon, a narcotics investigator with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office, sent a text message to Opdyke, Middleton, and Elward asking them, "Are y'all available for a mission?" Dedmon messaged the group that they were going to the property on Conerly Road and warned them, "There's a chance of cameras...let's approach east and work easy." Opdyke understood "work easy" to mean knock on the door, rather than kick it down.

Elward texted back an eyeroll emoji, and Opdyke texted a GIF of a baby crying. Dedmon messaged the group,

2.1

"If we don't see cameras, go." Opdyke understood that to mean if they did not see surveillance cameras at the property, then they should enter without a warrant. Dedmon messaged the group, "No bad mugshots." Opdyke understood "No bad mugshots" to be a green light to use excessive force on parts of the body not captured by a mugshot.

Opdyke, Middleton, and Elward each drove their
Rankin County Sheriff's Office-issued vehicles to the Cato
Volunteer Fire Department, parked their vehicles, and
waited for Dedmon. When Dedmon's truck drove past them,
Opdyke, Middleton, and Elward pulled out from the Cato
Volunteer Fire Department and followed Dedmon to the
property. When they arrived at the property, they all
parked in the driveway. Chief Investigator Brett McAlpin,
who had been surveilling the property from down the street,
pulled in behind them.

Noticing a surveillance camera above the front door of the property, Dedmon, Elward, and Opdyke walked around to the carport door, which had no surveillance camera covering it. Dedmon and Opdyke each kicked the carport door, but it did not open. Elward kicked the carport door, and it swung open. Opdyke, Dedmon, and Elward entered the home without consent, a warrant, or exigent circumstances. Opdyke knew that it was unlawful to enter a home without consent, a warrant, or exigent circumstances.

2.1

Opdyke saw two Black men inside: MJ and EP.

Officers issued them commands, and they complied. Dedmon handcuffed MJ and Tased him multiple times. Elward handcuffed EP and Tased him multiple times. Opdyke kicked EP in the ribs. There was no probable cause that MJ or EP had committed any crime, and there was no reason to kick or Tase them.

Dedmon asked EP where were they keeping the drugs.

Dedmon pulled out his gun, aimed it out the back door, and fired. Dedmon again demanded to know where the drugs were.

Opdyke knew it was unlawful for an officer to attempt to coerce a confession by firing a gun, but Opdyke did not intervene.

Officers moved MJ and EP to the living room area and yelled at them. The officers accused MJ and EP of taking advantage of the white woman who lived there. The officers said things like "Stay out of Rankin County" and "Go back to Jackson."

Opdyke kicked in the padlocked door to the front bedroom and observed, among other things, a BB gun and a white-flesh-toned dildo. Opdyke mounted the dildo on the end of a BB gun and brought the dildo into the living room, where MJ and EP were handcuffed and seated on the couch. Opdyke forced the dildo into the mouth of EP, and attempted to force the dildo into the mouth of MJ. Dedmon grabbed

2.1

the dildo from Opdyke and slapped EP and MJ in the face with it. Opdyke walked from the living room to the front bedroom. After a short period, Dedmon came into the front bedroom and said something to the effect of, "I tried to put it in his ass and he shat himself."

Dedmon forced MJ and EP onto their backs on the floor of the living room. Elward held them down. Dedmon poured milk, alcohol, and chocolate syrup onto their faces and into their mouths. Opdyke was present but did not intervene.

MJ and EP were ordered to strip naked and shower off. Their handcuffs were removed. After MJ and EP showered off and changed into clean underwear and sweats, they were handcuffed again and brought to the side bedroom adjacent to the carport. The officers began discussing the comparative strength of their respective Tasers. They decided to test their Tasers on MJ and EP to see which one was the most powerful. Dedmon, Elward, Hartfield, and Middleton each Tased MJ and EP while MJ and EP were handcuffed and not resisting. Opdyke knew that it was unlawful to Tase a handcuffed and compliant arrestee, and that he had a duty to intervene, but Opdyke did not intervene.

Elward surreptitiously removed a bullet from the chamber of his gun. Elward forced his gun into MJ's mouth

and pulled the trigger. The unloaded gun clicked but did not discharge. Elward racked the slide, forced the gun back into MJ's mouth, and pulled the trigger again. This time, the gun discharged, and MJ collapsed on the floor.

All six officers huddled up on the back screened-in porch to discuss what to do. They devised and agreed on a false cover-up story: That Elward brought MJ into the side bedroom to conduct a controlled drug buy over the phone; that Elward had removed MJ's handcuffs; that MJ had reached for a gun; and that Elward shot MJ in self-defense.

Middleton offered to plant a "throw-down" gun on MJ. Elward said he would take care of the gun. Dedmon said he would take care of the drugs, and Opdyke understood those comments to mean that Elward would plant a gun on MJ and Dedmon would plant the drugs on MJ. Opdyke knew that it was unlawful to plant evidence on a suspect, but he did not intervene.

McAlpin ordered them to get rid of the surveillance system. Hartfield and Opdyke returned to the side bedroom. Opdyke unplugged the surveillance system, and Hartfield grabbed the hard drive. When Opdyke returned to the side bedroom, MJ was no longer handcuffed, and a toy gun that Hartfield had previously seen in the front middle bedroom was planted next to MJ. That's the reference to the BB gun.

2.1

In order to limit the number of witnesses to the shooting, the officers agreed to tell investigators at the time of the shooting McAlpin and Middleton had left the property and were driving home. Dedmon and Hartfield were at Dedmon's truck, and Opdyke was escorting EP to a patrol car.

In order to corroborate his false cover story,

McAlpin told Dedmon that he was leaving and to call him in
a few minutes. McAlpin drove off in his Rankin County

Sheriff's Office-issued vehicle, which was enabled with GPS

tracking. Dedmon called him, and then McAlpin returned to
the scene.

McAlpin said that he would take care of EP. McAlpin ordered Opdyke to take EP from the side bedroom and put him in the back of Opdyke's patrol car, and then unlock the back door so McAlpin could talk to EP.

Several officers began looking for shell casings fired from Dedmon's gun. Opdyke picked up the shell casing in the hallway from Dedmon's first shooting. Opdyke and the other officers looked for but could not find the shell casing from Dedmon's second shooting.

While Opdyke and the other officers were attempting to cover up their misconduct, MJ was bleeding in the side bedroom. Opdyke understood that he and the other officers had a duty to render medical aid to MJ. Opdyke did not

2.1

provide medical aid, and Opdyke did not observe any other officer providing medical aid.

Later that night on his drive home, Opdyke put the shell casing in a water bottle, secured the top, and threw the bottle into tall grass on the side of Cato Road in Braxton, Mississippi.

Opdyke wrote and submitted a false report, knowing at the time it was false, for the purpose of covering up their misconduct. Opdyke was interviewed by investigators with the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation, and Opdyke lied to the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation investigators and withheld material information for the purpose of covering up their misconduct. Opdyke knew that as a law enforcement officer, it was unlawful to write a false report and give a false statement to investigators.

All this conduct occurring in Rankin County, in the Northern Division of the Southern District of Mississippi and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

THE COURT: Mr. Opdyke, in presenting the factual basis for the plea, counsel referenced, as she called it, a stipulation between the Government and you and represented that you had agreed to all this. Now, having heard her state all of these facts, is everything that she said true and correct?

DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.

```
1
           THE COURT: Is there anything that you dispute or
 2
    you take issue with?
 3
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: No, Your Honor.
 4
           THE COURT: Are you, in fact, quilty of these
 5
    various offenses -- excuse me -- these various offenses:
 6
    Count 1, Count 2, Count 3, Count 6, Count 7, Count 10,
7
    Count 11, and Count 12?
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
 9
           THE COURT: Are you guilty of all of these counts --
           DEFENDANT OPDYKE: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
           THE COURT: -- that are set forth in the
    information?
12
           Since you admit that you're in fact guilty as
13
14
    charged in the information and since you know and
15
    understand your right to a trial and what the maximum
    possible punishment is and since you're appearing
16
17
    voluntarily before the Court, I'm accepting your guilty
18
    plea, and will enter a judgment of quilty in your case as
    to all of these counts.
19
20
           A presentence investigation will be conducted by the
2.1
    probation office. As part of the investigation, the
22
    probation officer will be interviewing you and with your
23
    client [sic] having an opportunity to be there and
24
    talking -- covering the facts of the case and just
25
    information about you.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Your Honor.

Once the presentence investigation has been concluded, the probation office will prepare and submit a presentence investigation report. You and your attorney will have access to that report. If you contend that there are errors in the report, both as to matters of fact and guideline sentence application, then your attorney may take that up with the probation officer and file written objections. If there are any objections that are unresolved on the day of sentencing before I impose sentence, you can present anything that you contend supports your position as to such issues or disputes, and I will resolve it. I'm going to -- as I've indicated, and you've been in the courtroom, I'm going to set a date or dates for sentencing a little bit later when I've finished with the pleas. Do you have anything further from the Government or the defendant? MS. CHALK: Your Honor, we do move the defendant be continued to be detained pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3143. THE COURT: That motion is granted. MR. REYNOLDS: Nothing further from the defendant,

MS. THOMAS: Counsel needs to sign the plea

```
1
    agreement.
 2
           THE COURT: Ma'am, I'm sorry?
 3
           MS. THOMAS: Counsel needs to sign the plea
 4
    agreement.
 5
           THE COURT: Okay. The plea agreement is not signed
    by counsel.
 6
 7
           MS. THOMAS: By the Government.
 8
           MR. REYNOLDS: We signed it.
 9
           MS. CHALK:
                      May we approach?
           THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
10
11
           MR. REYNOLDS: We'll get that handled.
12
           THE COURT: That concludes this hearing.
13
           THE REPORTER: I need a break, Judge.
14
           THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute recess.
15
                     (A recess was taken.)
           THE COURT: We're proceeding now with the sixth
16
17
    defendant in this case, Mr. Hartfield, with counsel,
18
    Ms. Gilliam and Mr. Lingold.
19
           MS. GILLIAM: Your Honor, I'm going to stand here as
20
    well.
2.1
           THE COURT: Yes, ma'am, that's fine.
22
           Mr. Hartfield, the Court is now going to resume with
23
    the rest of the hearing for you. Let me initially, as I've
24
    been doing with the other defendants, explain to you the
25
    maximum possible penalties for each one of the counts in
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
which you're charged. I have explained each charge to you,
but now comes the explanation of the maximum penalties.
       In Count 1, conspiracy against rights, there's a
maximum penalty of ten years' imprisonment, $250,000 fine,
and three-years term of supervised release, along with $100
special assessment.
       In Counts 2, 3, 10, and 10 [sic], I'm referencing
them as deprivation of rights under color of law, for each
of these counts, the maximum term is ten years'
imprisonment, $250,000, and a term of supervised release of
three years, and a $100 special assessment. That's three.
They could be aggregated, but it's three per count -- I
mean, ten years per count.
       Then with regard to Count 11, conspiracy to obstruct
justice, the maximum penalty is 20 years' imprisonment, a
$250,000 fine, term of three years of supervised release,
and a $100 special assessment.
      And then on Count 12, I'm referencing it as
obstruction of justice, the maximum term of imprisonment is
20 years' imprisonment, $250,000 fine, three years'
supervised release, and a $100 special assessment.
      Now, I've marched through that pretty fast.
understand the maximum penalty on each count, or do you
have any questions about any of it?
```

DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, Your Honor, I

```
1
    understand.
 2
           THE COURT: Has anyone threatened, coerced,
 3
    harassed, or intimidated you in any way to persuade you to
 4
    come to court today to plead quilty?
 5
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: No, sir, Your Honor, they
    haven't.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: Are you appearing voluntarily and of
 8
    your own free will?
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, Your Honor, I am.
10
           THE COURT: Have you entered into a plea agreement
11
    with the Government? It would consist of a plea -- one
12
    document, plea agreement, and another one a plea
    supplement.
13
14
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, Your Honor, I have.
           THE COURT: Did you read, understand, and sign them?
15
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, I have, with my
16
17
    attorneys.
18
           THE COURT: And you -- I was just about to confirm
    did you -- whether you did so with your two lawyers.
19
20
    They've been over it with -- them with you and signed them?
2.1
    Both have signed them?
22
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir.
23
           THE COURT: Okay. And let me confirm with counsel.
24
           MR. LINGOLD: Yes, Your Honor. We have both gone
25
    over -- both myself and Ms. Gilliam have gone over the plea
```

agreement and the supplement line by line with Mr. Hartfield. He does understand.

2.1

THE COURT: All right. Let counsel for the Government set forth the fundamental terms of the plea agreement.

MS. CHALK: Thank you, Your Honor.

Defendant Hartfield has agreed to plead guilty to Count 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, and 12 as charged in the criminal information that is before the Court. In exchange for the defendant's agreement, the Government will recommend the defendant be sentenced as set forth in the plea supplement to be filed under seal. The plea agreement and plea supplement have been executed by the defendant, his attorneys, and counsel for the Government. The plea agreement also includes waivers of trial and appellate rights that were previously addressed earlier in the hearing.

At this time, Your Honor, the United States requests the Court have the defendant personally confirm that he understands and agrees with the terms of the plea agreement and plea supplement, including the waivers as outlined by the Government.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hartfield, earlier counsel made an explanation to you of various waivers and provisions, and you indicated that you understood them. Do

```
1
    you remember that this morning?
 2
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Now, having had the whole agreement and
 3
    supplement submitted, do you specifically agree to all of
 4
 5
    the provisions and terms and waivers that were set forth
    this morning and in the -- and referenced by counsel and in
 6
 7
    the instruments, all of that?
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, Your Honor, I do.
 8
 9
           THE COURT: The Government made a recommendation
    or -- in the plea supplement with regard to a sentence.
10
11
    you realize that while the Court oftentimes, even usually,
12
    follows Government recommendations, I'm not bound to do so,
    and I have to develop an independent judgment?
13
14
           And I tell you that now that if -- if I should give
15
    you a sentence that you're not expecting or that you don't
    like, you won't then be able to withdraw your guilty plea
16
17
    and demand a trial.
18
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, I understand.
19
           THE COURT: Nor will you be able to appeal your
20
    conviction or sentence, because you've given all that up in
2.1
    the agreements you've made with the Government.
22
           DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, sir, I understand.
23
           THE COURT: All right. Then I'll ask counsel to
24
    state the factual basis for the plea.
25
           MS. CHALK: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

If the Government were put to its burden at trial, we would show in this case, as well as the Government and the defendant stipulating to the facts contained in paragraph 8 of the plea supplement: That on January 24th, 2023, Joshua Hartfield was employed as a narcotics investigator and flex officer with the Richland Police Department.

Christian Dedmon, a narcotics investigator with the Rankin County Sheriff's Office, invited him to participate in an operation at 135 Conerly Road, in Braxton,

Mississippi. Hartfield and Dedmon got into Dedmon's Rankin County Sheriff's Office-issued truck and began driving to the property. On their way, they passed three Rankin County Sheriff's Office vehicles parked at the Cato Volunteer Fire Apartment. Inside those vehicles were Lieutenant Jeffrey Middleton, Deputy Hunter Elward, and Deputy Daniel Opdyke, who pulled out from the Cato Volunteer Fire Department and followed Dedmon and Hartfield to the property.

When they arrived at the property, they all parked in the driveway. Chief investigator Brett McAlpin, who had been surveilling the property from down the street, pulled in behind them.

Hartfield got out of Dedmon's truck, ran around the property to cover the back door, as Dedmon had instructed

2.1

him to. Hartfield heard a loud boom, which he understood to be the other officers kicking down the carport door.

Hartfield kicked the back door and entered the house. Hartfield observed a Black man in handcuffs, EP, next to Elward and Opdyke. EP had Taser wire sticking out of his body, and Elward and Opdyke were kicking him. Hartfield had been trained to intervene if he observed officers using excessive force on an arrestee. Despite that training and despite having the time and opportunity to intervene, Hartfield did not intervene.

Dedmon asked EP where they were keeping the drugs.

Dedmon pulled out his gun, aimed it out the back door, and fired. Dedmon again demanded to know where the drugs were. Hartfield knew that it was unlawful for an officer to attempt to coerce a confession by firing a gun, but Hartfield did not intervene.

Hartfield walked into the back bedroom. He overheard officers yelling in the living room area. The officers were saying things like "Stay out of Rankin County." "Go back to Jackson." Hartfield also heard the sound of Tasers going off, followed by screams of pain.

When Hartfield returned to the living room area, he observed EP and another Black man, MJ, covered in milk and chocolate syrup. MJ and EP were ordered to strip naked and shower off. Hartfield turned on the shower and collected

their soiled clothes.

2.1

McAlpin ordered Hartfield to get rid of the soiled clothes. Hartfield attempted to light them on fire, but they were too wet, so Hartfield disposed of the soiled clothes in the woods behind the property. Hartfield knew that it was unlawful to destroy or tamper with or destroy evidence.

When Hartfield came back inside, everyone was in the side bedroom adjacent to the carport. MJ and EP were handcuffed, wearing different clothes, and seated on the floor. The officers began discussing the comparative strength of their respective Tasers, and they decided to test their Tasers on MJ and EP to see which one was most powerful. Dedmon, Elward, Hartfield, and Middleton each Tased MJ and EP while MJ and EP were handcuffed and not resisting. Hartfield knew that it was unlawful to Tase a handcuffed and compliant arrestee.

Dedmon and Hartfield stepped onto the adjacent carport. Dedmon drew his gun and fired it into the yard. Shortly thereafter, Hartfield heard a second gunshot coming from the side bedroom. Hartfield stepped back into the side bedroom and observed Elward, Opdyke, MJ, and EP in the room. MJ, who was still in handcuffs, had been shot and was bleeding. Elward said, "I shot him." Hartfield told McAlpin and Middleton what happened, and then all six

2.1

officers huddled up on the back screened-in porch to discuss what to do. They devised and agreed on a false cover story: That Elward brought MJ into the side bedroom to conduct a controlled drug buy over the phone; that Elward had removed MJ's handcuffs; that MJ had reached for a gun; and that Elward shot MJ in self-defense.

Dedmon said that he would take care of the gun.

Dedmon said that he would take care of the drugs.

Hartfield understood those comments to mean that Elward would plant a gun on MJ, and Dedmon would plant drugs on MJ. Hartfield knew that it was unlawful to plant evidence on a suspect, but he did not intervene.

McAlpin ordered Hartfield to get rid of the surveillance system. Hartfield and Opdyke returned to the side bedroom. Opdyke unplugged the surveillance system, and Hartfield grabbed the hard drive and put it in Dedmon's truck.

When Hartfield returned to the side bedroom, MJ was no longer handcuffed, and a toy gun that Hartfield had previously seen in the front middle bedroom was planted next to MJ.

In order to limit the number of witnesses to the shooting, the officers agreed to tell investigators that at the time of the shooting, McAlpin and Middleton had left the property and were driving home. Dedmon and Hartfield

2.1

were at Dedmon's truck, and Opdyke was escorting EP to a patrol car. In order to corroborate his false cover story, McAlpin and Dedmon -- McAlpin told Dedmon that he was leaving and to call him in a few minutes.

McAlpin drove off in his Rankin County Sheriff's Office-issued vehicle, which was enabled with GPS tracking. Dedmon called him, and then McAlpin returned to the scene.

McAlpin said that he would take care of EP.

Hartfield observed McAlpin walk to the patrol car where EP had been taken, open the back door, and talk to EP. After, McAlpin told Hartfield that he had spoken with EP, and that EP would stick to the story.

Several officers began looking for the shell casings fired from Dedmon's gun. Hartfield observed Opdyke pick up the shell casing in the hallway from Dedmon's first shooting. Hartfield and the other officers looked for but could not find the shell casing from Dedmon's second shooting.

While Hartfield and the other officers were attempting to cover up their misconduct, MJ was bleeding in the side bedroom. Hartfield understood that he and the other officers had a duty to render medical aid to MJ. Hartfield did not provide medical aid, and Hartfield did not observe any other officer providing medical aid.

Later that night at Dedmon's house, Dedmon told

2.1

Hartfield that he would get rid of the used Taser cartridges if Hartfield would get rid of the hard drive to the surveillance system. On his drive home, Hartfield stopped at the Steen Creek Bridge on Highway 469 South near Eagle Post Road in Florence, Mississippi, and threw the hard drive into the creek.

Dedmon later told Hartfield that Hartfield needed to write a report about the incident and submit it to the Rankin County Sheriff's Office. Dedmon provided Hartfield a copy of Dedmon's report, and Dedmon told Hartfield to write that Hartfield was at Dedmon's truck at the time of the shooting. Hartfield wrote and submitted a false report, knowing at the time that it was false, for the purpose of covering up their misconduct.

Hartfield was interviewed by the investigators with the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation, and Hartfield lied to the MBI investigators, and withheld material information for the purpose of covering up their misconduct. Hartfield knew that as a law enforcement officer, it was unlawful to write a false report and give a false statement to investigators.

All of this conduct occurring in Rankin County, in the Northern Division of the Southern District of Mississippi within the jurisdiction of this Court.

THE COURT: Mr. Hartfield, Ms. Chalk just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

represented to the Court what are the facts of the case, a summary of the judgment's case -- of the Government's case, and is there anything that she stated to the Court that is untrue, that you dispute, or with which you disagree? DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: No, Your Honor. dispute anything. THE COURT: Is it all true? DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Are you, in fact, guilty of all of the criminal offenses alleged in Count 1, conspiracy against rights; Count 2, Count 3, and Count 10, deprivation of rights under color of law; Count 11, conspiracy to obstruct justice; and Count 12, obstruction of justice? DEFENDANT HARTFIELD: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Since you admit that you're in fact guilty as charged in the information as to all counts, since you understand your right to a trial, since you know what the maximum possible penalty is, and since you're voluntarily pleading guilty, I'm accepting your guilty plea and will enter a judgment of guilty in your case as to all counts. The probation office will initiate and conduct a presentence investigation. During the course of that investigation, you will be interviewed by the probation officer. Your lawyers will have access to that interview.

2.1

```
And once the interview has been completed, and together with other information obtained, a written presentence investigation report will be prepared and submitted. You and your counsel will have the chance to review that report, and if you should contend that there are errors in the report, either as to proposed factual findings or guideline sentence application, your counsel can take that up with the probation office and file written objections.
```

Should there be written objections filed that are unresolved by the time of sentencing, I will resolve them after first giving you a chance to present anything that you think supports your position.

I'm going -- I'm setting this -- saying this and saying it for all of you, and I'll give you a chance -- I'm about to set the date of a sentencing disposition. If there's any serious questions or conflicts about that, bring it up now. But I'm going to set tentatively the dates of November 14, 15, and 16 for sentencing in this case and in the other case. We'll set specific times, and if counsel have particular problems with those dates or any of those dates, let the Court know promptly, and then we will work out times on those days to have the sentencing dispositions.

Is there any -- anything --

MR. LINGOLD: May I approach --

```
1
           THE COURT: Yes, sir.
 2
           MR. LINGOLD: -- and file the plea agreement and
 3
    supplement that is sealed?
           THE COURT: I neglected to have that admitted.
 4
 5
    plea agreement is admitted, and the plea supplement is
 6
    admitted under seal.
 7
           MR. LINGOLD: Thank you, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Before the Court adjourns, is there
 8
 9
    anything from any counsel from any of these parties, the
    Government, or any of these parties that you need to bring
10
11
    to my attention before we adjourn?
12
           MS. CHALK: If I may, Your Honor, specific as to
    Defendant Hartfield, we do move for detention pursuant to
13
14
    Title 18, United States Code, Section 3143.
15
           THE COURT: That motion is granted.
16
           MS. CHALK: Thank you, Your Honor.
           And I would also mention for the record that the
17
18
    victims in this case were notified of the hearings and of
    their opportunity to attend the hearing.
19
20
           THE COURT: All right. And as I indicated, we'll
2.1
    have some specific times for those three days for
22
    sentencing.
23
           MR. LINGOLD: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
           MS. GILLIAM: Thank you.
25
           THE COURT: Is there anything further from any of
```

```
the counsel or the defendants? Anything to bring to my
1
    attention?
2
3
           MS. CHALK: Not in this case, Your Honor. But we're
    ready to proceed on the next information when the Court is
 4
5
    ready.
 6
7
8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, Candice S. Crane, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing pages contain a full, true, and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the forenamed case at the time and place indicated, which proceedings were stenographically recorded by me to the best of my skill and ability.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and Judicial Conference of the United States.

THIS, the 16th day of October, 2023.

/s/Candice S. Crane, RPR, RCR, CCR

Candice S. Crane, RPR, RCR, CCR #1781 Official Court Reporter United States District Court Candice Crane@mssd.uscourts.gov

2.4